#### 1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 WACHTELL LIPTON ROSEN & KATZ, ESOS. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019-6618 5 BY: BARBARA ROBBINS, ESQ., 6 of Counsel. 7 - AND -8 HUNTON & WILLIAMS, ESQS. Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 9 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 10 LEWIS T. BOOKER, ESQ., BY: of Counsel. 11 12 13 WILMER CUTLER & PICKERING, ESQS. Attorneys for Defendant AMERICAN 1.4 BROADCASTING COMPANIES 2445 M Street, N.W. 15 Washington, D.C. 20037-1420 BY: JOHN PAYTON, ESQ., 16 DENISE ESPOSITO, ESQ., 17 -and-TIMOTHY FOX, ESQ., 18 of Counsel. ALSO PRESENT: 19 20 JOHN J. MULDERIG, III, ESQ., Senior Assistant General Counsel 21 Philip Morris Management Corp. 22 23 24 25

#### ERRATA SHEET

Corrections or changes to the deposition testimony of:

Victor Han	- Volume 1
VICTOL LIGHT	- Annuic i

Page	Line	Correction
39	24	Change "You are re-marking" to "Were we marking"
45	2	Change "the activities" to "their activities"
47	20	Change "24" to "4"
49	8	Omit "Mary"
70	7	Omit "carried"
75	15	Add "But" after "of that."
93	24	Change "on" to "Han"
98	12	Add "MS. ROBBINS: John, I'm going to take you up on your offer of an extra copy."  "MR. PAYTON: The extra copy I had was that "  "MS. ROBBINS: Oh I see, O.K. I'll look on."
106	19	Change "being" to "having been"
109	2	Change "this" to "that"
118	6	Omit "that is that"
130	25	Insert "is" between "this" and "the"
144	4	Insert "they were" after even, before "if he"
144	21	Insert "OK" before "are you saying"
146	4	Add "I" before "I understand"
150	2	Insert "that" before "I received"
150	7	Add "on" after place,
150	7	Add "another "after" before "this e-mail"
150	11	Add "a" after "It was"
150	12	Insert "O.K." and "somebody" before "one of the"
150	17	Insert "OK" before "Do you know"
151	11	Add another "who" before "is"
151	12	Add another "who" before "is"  Add another "I" after Burnley before "I simply know"

Victor Han

## ERRATA SHEET

### Corrections or changes to the deposition testimony of:

#### Victor Han - Volume 1

Page	Line	Correction	
151	22	Insert "may" between "there and might"	
152	4	Change "the question" to "your question"	
152	11	Add "O.K." before "but the call"	
152	11	Add "Legal, get the" after "To get the"	
153	4	Add another "you're" before "not giving"	
153	7	Add another "that" before "there were"	
153	18	After Ms. Robbins: add "Now" before "Wait a minute"	
153	19	Add "your" and "the" before "the calls"	
154	21	Add "I, I" before "don't know"	
155	22	Add another "were" before "had and"	
156	17	Add another "I" before "want to"	
157	6	Add "O.K." before "And is that"	
158	12	Insert "Just" before "separate"	
158	17	Add "OK" before "There were no"	
159	3	Add "was" after "What he"	
159	7	Replace "Form" with "From"	
159	8	Insert "It would be" before "he would go"	
159	12	Add another "To" before "get legal"	
159	9	Take out "For", insert "To get" before "legal advise."	
160	9	Insert "Now" before "The information"	
160	15	Add another "one" before "of the"	
160	21	Add "I" before "Did I discuss"	
170	21	Add "Well, with that understanding of number 2 never mind." before "3 is fax "	2(
172	3	Omit the second consecutive "had" before "at least"	0584

Victor Han

Corrections or changes to the deposition testimony of:

Victor Han - Volume 1

Page	Line	<u>Correction</u>
173	15	Add "Was it Daragan? It could be the very end of the Daragan deposition." after "to him."
185	10	Change "abstract" to "extract"
198	10	Insert "To be honest," before "I need to take a break."

2058457512

Victor Han

# SUPPLEMENTAL ERRATA SHEET

Corrections or changes to the deposition testimony of:

### Victor Han

VOLUME 1			
Page	Line	Correction	
15	5	no "it"	
21	16	prior, "during" my tenure no "to"	
27	18	"believe" instead of "think"	
52	5	Should read: I cannot say for sure with any certainty that that's what the article was about	
69	24	"now" instead of "know"	
70	7	"Shook" instead of "Schick"	
77	10	"was" instead of "is"	
163	15	"they" instead of "it"	
178	8	" became" instead of "came"	
185	10	"extract" instead of "abstract"	
188	18	"heard" instead of "her"	

Lichton

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: This is the video operator speaking, Russell Finz, of Action Legal Video, 132 Nassau Street, New York, New York. We are here on this day, March 16, 1995, at the time continuously recorded on the videotape, at the offices of Proskauer Rose Goetz & Mendelsohn, 1585 Broadway, New York, New York, to take the videotaped deposition of Victor Han, on behalf of the defendants, in the matter of Philip Morris Companies, Incorporated, et al, versus American Broadcasting Companies, Incorporated, et al, in the Circuit Court for the City of Richmond, Virginia, John Marshall Courts Building, At Law No. 760CL94X 00816-00.

Will counsel please introduce themselves.

MR. PAYTON: John Payton for defendants ABC, Walt Bogdanich and John Martin.

MS. ESPOSITO: Denise Esposito, also for defendants.

MR. FOX: Tim Fox, for defendants.

MS. ROBBINS: Barbara Robbins,

Wachtell Lipton Rosen & Katz, for plaintiffs.

MR. BOOKER: Louis T. Booker, Hunton

& Williams, for the plaintiffs.

3

MR. MULDERIG: John Mulderig, for the

4 plaintiffs.

5

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Will the

6

reporter please swear the witness.

7

V I C T O R

HAN,

8

residing at : [DELETED]

9

having been first duly sworn by the

10

Notary Public (Jack Finz), was examined and

11

testified as follows:

12

EXAMINATION BY MR. PAYTON:

13

Q. Mr. Han, could you tell me your

14

present title?

15

A. My title is director of

16

communications, world regulatory affairs.

17

Q. And is that a new position?

18

A. Relatively so, yes.

19

Q. How recent?

20

A. About ten months.

21

Q. What does world regulatory affairs

22

mean?

23

A. That has to do with regulatory issues

that exist for our company on a worldwide basis.

24

Q. Does that mean that other

2058457515

communications functions in Philip Morris work through you, report to you?

- A. No. Other functions? I'm sorry.

  MS. ROBBINS: I object to the form of the question.
- Q. I'm trying to understand what the relationship is between world regulatory affairs and its communication department, and the media programs division, for example.
- A. Oh, I see. Well, it has to do with the issues that are dealt with, and there are certain sets of issues that are handled by the world regulatory affairs, all having regulatory impact, and those are the issues that I would handle from a communications standpoint.

Other departments in Philip Morris
U.S.A., for example, would handle issues relating
to the business, which is not something that I
would do, for example.

- Q. Is your position not just a new position for you but a new position, just created?
- A. It was a new position created approximately ten months ago, nine, ten months

1	Han
2	ago.
3	Q. And prior to that, what was your
4	position?
5	A. Director of communications, Philip
6	Morris U.S.A.
7	Q. And how long had you been in that
8	position, director of communications, Philip
9	Morris U.S.A.?
10	A. Two and a half years, approximately.
11	Q. And prior to that, what was you
12	position?
13	A. I was an executive vice president at
14	Burson Marsteller, public relations firm.
15	Q. Just so I can keep track of the
16	timeline, when did you leave Burson Marsteller to
17	go to Philip Morris?
18	A. August 1991.
19	Q. And how long were you at Burson
20	Marsteller?
21	A. 16 years. Yes.
22	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 9:53, and
23	we are off the record.
24	(Discussion off the record.)

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Back on the

record. It is 9:54.

- Q. When you were at Burson Marsteller, were you responsible for some or all of the Philip Morris account?
- A. I was responsible for some of the Philip Morris account.
- Q. And what was Philip Morris' relationship with Burson Marsteller?
- A. Burson Marsteller was one of Philip Morris' public relations firms.
- Q. Burson Marsteller is a public relations company?
  - A. Firm, yes, sir.
  - Q. And what did it do for Philip Morris?
- A. Its primary work had to do with media relations, some employee communications.
  - Q. Does it still work for Philip Morris?
    - A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. And what was your role in handling the Philip Morris account?
- A. I was the senior person on the Philip Morris account based in New York City.
- Q. And what did that mean as far as what you did for Philip Morris?

- A. I helped out Philip Morris with certain media relations issues, as time went on, crisis prep, crisis simulation, crisis management, communications management. There was not a clear distinction between my role and the role of the office in Washington, D.C. We worked basically as a team, and I was the one in New York City.
- Q. The office in Washington, D.C., is the Philip Morris office or the Burson Marsteller office?
  - A. The Burson Marsteller office.
- Q. How long were you working on Philip Morris-related issues when you were at Burson Marsteller?
- A. I first began working on Philip

  Morris in 1986. It did not require a hundred

  percent of my time at that point. Subsequently,

  it did.
  - Q. In the later years, it did?
- A. Even there it was not a hundred percent, but it was a significant chunk.
- Q. When you were at Burson Marsteller, did you do work for any other tobacco companies?

A. No, sir, I did not.

2.5

- Q. Any work in connection with the Tobacco Institute, or tobacco-related entities like the Tobacco Institute?
- A. No, I did not. Let me -- there was one period of time where Philip Morris was my client but I was part of a group that did involve other tobacco companies having to do with public relations. But they were not my clients.
- Q. And in your representation of Philip Morris from 1986, and I guess increasing up to 1991, did you become knowledgeable about the tobacco industry, cigarette-making, issues like that?
  - A. To some degree, yes.
- Q. Was that incidental or central to the work you did for Philip Morris?
- A. As far as manufacturing and such, it was more incidental.
- Q. So that would just be knowledge that you just gathered along the way, but you didn't need to learn it to do what you were doing for Philip Morris?
  - A. That's correct.

Did you ever attend any seminars at Ο. 2 Philip Morris on cigarette-making? 3 Not during my tenure at Burson 4 Marsteller, no. 5 Did you ever visit any of the Philip 6 Q. Morris production facilities when you were at 7 Burson Marsteller? 8 I don't think that I did. 9 Now, your position in 10 11 January-February 1994, director of communications, was for Philip Morris U.S.A.? 12 13 Α. That's correct. 14 Q. Did you have any relationship with 15 Philip Morris Companies? 16 Only in the sense that there was a cooperative effort in most cases with the public 17 relations people in that area. I participated in 18 work involving media relations surrounding the 19 20 annual meeting, which is technically Philip 21 Morris Companies, Inc. 22 When you did work at Burson 23 Marsteller, did you have to sign a 24 confidentiality agreement?

Han

At Burson Marsteller?

Α.

1

2.5

- Q. Yes, to work for Philip Morris.
- A. Sorry. You mean a Philip Morris confidentiality agreement?

- Q. Yes, I'm sorry, a Philip Morris confidentiality agreement.
- A. I believe that that confidentiality agreement was part of our contract or letter of agreement with Philip Morris.
- Q. And you did -- I see. So part of the representation of Philip Morris required Burson Marsteller to have a confidentiality agreement?

  MS. ROBBINS: You asked him whether it required him to.

MR. PAYTON: I know, and I thought he answered on behalf of his company. That's why --

- A. That's correct, I was answering on behalf of the company. I did not sign -- to my recollection, I did not sign the letter of agreement. Someone else signed it. But obviously it was binding to everybody who worked there.
- Q. And there was an undertaking in the letter of agreement with regard to confidentiality?

1	Han
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
4	A. No, sir.
5	Q. Lucky you.
6	Have you ever testified at trial?
7	A. At a hearing, but not at a trial.
8	Q. What was the hearing about?
9	A. It was a landlord-tenant dispute. I
10	was the tenant.
11	Q. This is the first time you have
12	given, aside from the landlord-tenant dispute,
13	testimony under oath?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. And do you have a confidentiality
16	agreement with Philip Morris?
17	A. I believe I do.
18	Q. Do you know when you would have
19	entered into it?
20	A. It would have been at the start of my
21	employment at Philip Morris.
22	Q: And do you know what the terms of the
23	confidentiality agreement are?
24	A. Only generally. I'm not familiar

with the specifics of it. But it would have to

do with confidential information I've obtained while working for the company, and the use of that information with people outside of the company.

Han

- Q. And you signed it one time and it's continuing in effect, as far as you know?
  - A. As far as I know, yes, sir.
- Q. Yesterday an issue as to the relationship between that type of confidentiality agreement, that Philip Morris has with, I take it, a number of its employees, and testimony in depositions, like your deposition right now, came up, and I'm going to paraphrase what you said --

MS. ROBBINS: I'm happy to say it again.

MR. PAYTON: That's fine.

MS. ROBBINS: As I said yesterday with respect to Ms. Daragan, and as I have advised Mr. Han, he should in no way feel that any of the answers that he gives here today are in any way limited or constrained by any confidentiality order that he signed. I have explained to him that we have a stipulation and protective order in this case, that if he is

.008457524

semester in graduate studies. And actually previous to that, graduate school in history at You have an undergraduate degree? Ο. Α. Yes, sir. 0. Any postgraduate degrees? No, sir. Α. Do you have any specific training in communications or public relations or any related --You mean from an academic Α. 2058457525 standpoint? 0. Yes.

Han

going to speak about confidential information or

protected, and all of his answers should not in

any way be limited by a confidentiality agreement

background, just briefly? Did you go to college?

literature. Attended Boston University for one

Okay.

Could you go into your educational

I attended Hamilton College,

trade secret information, the record will be

that he might have signed with Philip Morris.

MR. PAYTON:

received a bachelor's degree in French

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NYU.

Ο.

1	Han
2	Q. And after graduate school what
3	year are we in, do you know?
4	A. 1975.
5	Q. 1975. What was your next employment?
6	A. At Burson Marsteller, in New York.
7	Q. And you started there as an account
8	executive?
9	A. Probably an assistant account
10	executive.
11	Oh, there was one other employ that I
12	had for one year. I was a senior speechwriter at
13	NYNEX the first year of the AT&T divestiture.
14	Then I returned to Burson Marsteller.
15	Q. Did you prepare for today's
16	deposition?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. What did you do?
19	A. I met with my attorneys.
20	Q. Did you talk to any persons who work
21	at Philip Morris?
22	A. I had no discussions with them.
23	Obviously, I told people that I was being
24	deposed, but that was the limit of the

conversation.

Han

Yes, sir.

And did you review any documents?

Do you recall what you reviewed?

1

2

3

4

5

Q.

Α.

Q.

#### Han

- Q. Did you review anybody else's notes?

  MS. ROBBINS: Handwritten notes?

  MR. PAYTON: Yes.
- A. The only one that I can think of is two handwritten notes or two pieces of paper with handwritten notes by Mr. Bogdanich.
- Q. When you were the director of communications, how large was the staff that was under you?
  - A. You mean in Philip Morris U.S.A.?
  - Q. Yes, in Philip Morris U.S.A.
- A. I had a staff of four, not including secretaries, I believe.
- Q. I'm going to show you, just to help me, and help you go through how it was organized, a flow chart that was produced to us that I believe is a flow chart for the director of communications, Philip Morris U.S.A.
  - A. Okay.

Q. I'm not sure I have other copies, but I'm going to show it to you. It does not have all the appropriate people for this time period in it, because it is from another period, but I think it will help us.

н	$\mathbf{a}$	۲

MS. ROBBINS: These documents spoke of the January-February 1994 time period.

MR. PAYTON: Okay.

Q. Were you the director of communications --

MS. ROBBINS: Are you going to mark this?

MR. PAYTON: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I'm going to use it to help him help me in asking these questions. I'm not sure I have enough copies, is the problem. So at the end I may mark it.

- Q. You were the director of communications in January-February 1994; is that right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is this a chart that depicts the office that you headed?
  - A. At that time?
  - Q. Yes.

A. No, sir.

MR. PAYTON: That's why I'm just

24 going to use it, because it doesn't have his name

25 in it.

- Q. Does this chart actually accurately portray the organization of the office when you were the director?
  - A. No, sir.

MS. ROBBINS: Just for the record, if you could read in the Bates stamp number, so we will know what document we are talking about.

MR. PAYTON: I'm asking some questions about the number marked PA 258123.

- Q. What's the difference between this chart and how the organization was in January-February 1994?
- A. The two managers -- I had two managers reporting to me, and the specialist and the coordinator reported to one of the managers.
- Q. So it shows director of communications, and then under that, on the right, it shows manager media programs, and in January-February 1994 there would have been two managers --
  - A. Another manager.
- Q. Another manager. Was there a subdesignation, another manager of media programs, or just another manager?

ĽΤ	_	~
п	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	1.3

- A. Manager-communications.
- Q. And was the manager of media programs at that time Karen Daragan?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And who was the other manager?
- A. Jack Lenzi.
- Q. And underneath both of them, the organization would have showed the specialist media affairs, Tara Carraro?
  - A. Yes, reporting to Karen Daragan.
- Q. And was there a manager media affairs -- there is a second one on this chart. Was there a second one at that time?
- A. That position was the position that was held by Jack Lenzi prior to my tenure, and so obviously they have restructured since I've departed.
- Q. I see. So if I understand this, it would have gone director of communications, yourself --
  - A. With two managers reporting to me.
- Q. And the underneath manager media programs, and then a manager --
  - A. They call it manager media affairs,

coordinator reporting to one of the managers, and 3 in this case, back a year ago, to Karen Daragan. 5 Q. And was Brendan McCormick, who is on 6 this box as the coordinator, was he then the 7 coordinator or was he an intern? I believe he was an intern at that time. 9 Was there a coordinator? 10 Q. He fulfilled that role. 11 What's the other side of the 12 0. organization do, director editorial services? 13 Was that present when you were the director of 14 communications? 15 Yes, it was. They are writers. 16 17 They write? For what purpose do they write? 18 19 They write speeches, they write letters, they write communications to employees, 20 they write presentations, they write 21 22 communications to consumers. 23 MR. PAYTON: I will mark this as Han No. 1. 24

Han

both reporting to me, with the specialist and

(Han Exhibit 1 for

EXB

1

2

identification, organization chart.)

1

77	_	
н	а	I1

MR. PAYTON: Yes. That's all I'm talking about.

- Q. And I understand that there was a computer network, and that the computers were organized on a network; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And was there a central storage system for the network, that is, were files stored to a central database?
  - A. That I don't know.
- Q. Were the computers also connected via electronic mail?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You say there were central files and personal files. Who was responsible for the central files?
- A. The central files were -- actually at the time there was another intern on my staff, and it was she who maintained these central files. The central files were primarily news clippings.
  - Q. Who was the intern?
  - A. Joan Cryan.
  - Q. Can you spell that?

A. C-r-y-a-n.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- Q. The central files were primarily news clippings; is that what you said?
- A. Primarily news clippings. I call them central files because it's something that anybody would dip into when necessary. But, I mean, we did not characterize them as such.
- Q. Were you aware that ABC had made certain requests for documents on Philip Morris in this lawsuit?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. How did you become aware of that?
- A. An attorney told me.
- Q. And were you asked to search any of your personal files in connection with identifying documents that were being sought?
  - A. I was asked to provide documents.
  - Q. And did you search your files?
- A. No, sir. I opened up my file drawer and told the lawyers to take what they wanted.
  - Q. And that was -- did you take your personal files with you when you changed positions ten months ago?
    - A. Some.

Han 1 And it's the files that you took with 2 Ο. you that you opened up to the lawyers? 3 4 No, sir. These files were on the 14th floor. This was before I changed positions 5 and changed offices. Everything was in one 6 7 place. Were you also shown interrogatories, 8 Q. questions that ABC had asked of Philip Morris in 9 connection with this lawsuit? 10 I saw some. 11 12 And were you asked to help provide 13 some of the answers to some of those questions? Yes, sir. 14 Α. 15 Do you remember any of the questions 16 you provided answers to? 17 I don't recall right now, no, sir. MS. ROBBINS: If you want to show him 18 something, John, you are welcome to. 19 MR. PAYTON: No. We will come to 20 21 that, I quess. I will wait on that. 22 Since you have been working at Philip 23 Morris, since 1991, have you become more knowledgeable about the tobacco industry, or is 24

your role similar to what it was at Burson

Marsteller?

- A. No, I have become more knowledgeable.
- Q. And are there direct things that you have done to become more knowledgeable? Have you attended seminars, or symposia, or sessions that Philip Morris has held for executives or others about --
- A. Oh, yes, I have. I mean, there were conferences that were held on the state of the business, which I attended, and I learned more just by being an attendee. And taking tours of two of the facilities.
  - Q. Which facilities did you tour?
- A. The Richmond facility and the Louisville facility -- I'm sorry, the Cabarrus facility. I'm trying to recall if I toured the Louisville facility. I don't think I have.
- Q. The Cabarrus facility is in North Carolina?
  - A. North Carolina, yes, sir.
- Q. And do you now consider yourself fairly knowledgeable about cigarette-making?
- A. From a layman's standpoint, I probably know more than the man in the street,

Han 1 2 but I'm certainly no expert. It's pretty complicated stuff? 3 Actually, conceptually different --4 5 conceptually simple, but from a mechanical and engineering standpoint, yes, very complicated. 6 7 When you said you visited the Richmond facility, was that separate from the 8 trip I understand you took with members of the 10 press to walk through the Richmond facility, I think summer of '94? 11 12 Α. Yes. I had a tour of the facility 13 soon after I began working at Philip Morris. was a relatively extensive tour, but not nearly 14 15 as extensive as the tour that we have provided 16 since then to some members of the media, and what 17 I understand to be the tour that was provided to the FDA. 18 19 Ο. You didn't go on the tour with the FDA? 20 21 Α. No, sir. 22 Did someone from your office? Q. 23 My office? Α.

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

From the division, communications

Q.

division.

24

A. No, sir.

- Q. Now, when you began at Philip Morris as the director of communications, were there in place general procedures for how to respond to press inquiries?
- A. Procedures -- there were certainly procedures that were in place.
- Q. Can you just describe how you were supposed to handle a press inquiry, how the --
- A. Generally speaking, an inquiry will come in. For the most part, it is received by a secretary. I learned very early on not to answer my own phone, because every time I did there was somebody trying to sell me something.

The secretary would convey the information she got, which was generally the name of the reporter, general subject matter, the publication, the deadline, to one of the junior staff members, who would then call the reporter back to get more details, to confirm the deadline, and to try to get specific questions.

Q. How would you make sure that the staff persons would know the answers or know who to call for the answers?

1.9

#### Han

A. After speaking to the reporter, the staff person would communicate that information verbally or by E-mail, generally both, but always with E-mail after a certain short point, and based on that information I would decide, working with other people, who it was that would be speaking to the reporter, and generally the area we thought that the reporter was looking for. And sometimes we would gather the information from people who had more knowledge about certain issues and would respond to a reporter's questions ourselves.

- Q. You would gather more information from inside Philip Morris?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Any written guidelines about how to handle press inquiries?
- A. The only written guidelines that I am aware of are those that are given to temporary secretaries who come in, so they know what to do when a phone call from a reporter comes in.
- Q. That's just sort of a who's who and what's what?
  - A. It's partially that, and if a

find out if they had received a similar inquiry,
to find out whether or not the information they
had was the same as ours. And sometimes it is
and sometimes it's not.

Q. And for practical purposes, is RJR
the most significant counterpart that you paid
attention to?

A. It is the only tobacco company that I
had communications with.

Han

regard to coordination, with respect to press

inquiries, with other tobacco companies?

coordinate with other tobacco companies in

connection with press inquiries?

Were there general procedures with

No, there was not at that time.

Was there a practice about how to

No, sir, there was not at that time.

Did you develop procedures?

I would, depending upon the

situation, depending upon the type of inquiry, I

would contact RJR, my counterparts at RJR, to

Okay. What were they?

Up to a certain extent, I did.

reporter calls, this is what you do.

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Α.

. Q .

Α.

Q.

	1		
1		Han	
2	Q.	Who was your counterpart?	
3	Α.	Primarily Maura Payne Ellis and Peggy	•
4	Carter.		
5	Q.	Where were they located?	
6	Α.	Winston-Salem.	
7	Q.	Did you ever get together to talk	
8	about thing	s?	
9	Α.	We did on a couple of occasions.	
10	Q.	Was that on specific occasions to	
11	deal with a	specific issue, or did you just get	
12	together to	kind of talk over things?	
13	Α.	No, to deal with specific issues.	
14	Q.	Are there any communications-related	
15	professional	l organizations for the tobacco	
16	industry?		
17	Α.	An association, for example, is that	
18	what you're	talking about?	
19	Q.	Yes.	
20	Α.	There's the Tobacco Institute in	
21	Washington,	D.C.	
22	Q.	But does it do anything separate and	
23	special for	communications problems that arise?	
24	А.	They have their own communications	20584
25	department,	and obviously they are frequently	345

1	Han	
2	industry issue, an issue that applied to the	
3	entire industry and did not apply specifically to	
4	Philip Morris, then we would ask the TI to	
5	respond on behalf of the industry.	
6	Q. Would you pretty regularly refer	
7	reporters to the Tobacco Institute?	
8	A. With some good degree of regularity,	
9	yes, sir.	
10	Q. What's the Council for Tobacco	
11	Research?	
12	A. The Council for Tobacco Research is a	
13	funding organization for scientific research.	
14	Q. Does Philip Morris have a	
15	relationship with the Council for Tobacco	
16	Research?	
17	MS. ROBBINS: I object to the form of	
18	the question. I don't know what you mean by	
19	relationship.	
20	Q. Do you know if Philip Morris has any	
21	relationship to the Council for Tobacco	
22	Research?	
23	MS. ROBBINS: I object.	
24	A. I believe so.	
25	Q. What is it?	

1	Han	
2	A. I could not I would not I could	
3	not be specific about it. I would not know the	
4	specifics.	
5	Q. Do they fund it?	
6	A. Again, I'm not certain about that.	
7	Q. Do you know what the Batelle Memorial	
8	Institute is?	
9	A. No. Batelle, B-a-t-e-l-l-e?	
10	Q. That's correct.	
11	A. I don't know what it is. I've heard	
12	of it.	
13	Q. Did you do training of personnel when	
14	you were the director of communications? The	
15	time period I'm talking about is for the fall of	
16	'93 and through '94.	
17	A. I've participated in media training	
18	throughout my tenure at Philip Morris.	
19	Q. So you were trained?	
20	A. No, sir, I was not.	
21	Q. But you made sure your staff was	
22	trained?	
23	A. And others.	
24	Q. Did you have an actual program to	
25	make sure that the people that worked for you	

1	Han
2	received some type of training in public
3	relations or media or communications?
4	A. For example, classes, or seminars,
5	and such?
6	Q. Yes.
7	A. No, I did not have a program. They
8	were free to request to attend some of those,
9	should there be a course or class they saw that
10	was of interest to them.
11	Q. Did you have regular staff meetings?
12	A. They were not regular, but I did have
13	some staff meetings.
14	Q. Did you have staff meetings when an
15	issue arose that you thought required a staff
16	meeting?
17	A. No, sir, not that type of staff
18	meeting, no. I wouldn't call those staff
19	meetings.
20	Q. That's just a meeting?
21	A. That's just come into my office and
22	let's talk about this.
-23	Q. Was there a reason you didn't have

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

I have a small department. They were

the staff meetings?

24

all around. We talked all the time.

- Q. So it was just unnecessary?
- A. For the most part -- not everybody on my staff agreed with that, but for the most part I felt it was not as necessary as it was for a large department that would have been spread out. Certainly when we did have staff meetings, the purpose was to make sure everybody understood what everybody was doing and the projects that were on the table and the progress that was being made.
  - Q. How large is your staff now?
- A. I have four professionals on my staff now.
  - O. It's about the same size?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You said that a press inquiry would almost always result in an E-mail --
- A. Actually, soon after I got there I believe every single press inquiry resulted in an E-mail.
- Q. Now we have an E-mail, and it goes to -- who does the E-mail go to? Who gets advised via the E-mail?

- A. Generally speaking, it would be the members of my department, so that we all knew what was happening. Depending upon the issue, we would also include other members of the company if they had -- if we believed that they had reason to either know about it or provide information regarding the inquiry.
- Q. And you would then make a decision about who would be responsible for then following up on the press inquiry?
- A. Not necessarily. There were a lot of inquiries that would come our way that I would see, that I would know would be taken care of without my intervention.
- Q. What's the media affairs activity report?
- A. That is simply a listing, I believe in chronological order, of the media calls that were received in a given month, and the action that was taken.
- Q. Was that a report that was being generated before you were the head of the department, or did you --
  - A. I do not know. I can't recall

whether we started that or not.

- Q. Were there any other regular reports that your office generated with respect to media inquiries?
- A. On a regular basis, not that I can think of.
- Q. When did you first hear that Day One was working on a story that related to the tobacco industry and potentially Philip Morris?
- A. I can't tell you the exact date, but certainly I learned about it the first time they called us.
- Q. Do you recall if you may have learned about it from someone at RJR before they called you?
- MS. ROBBINS: The "they" being Day One?

MR. PAYTON: Yes.

- A. That's not how I recall the situation.
- MR. PAYTON: I want this marked as

23 Han 2.

1

2

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MS. ROBBINS: You are re-marking exhibits at each deposition with a name and a new

Han 1 2 number? 3 MR. PAYTON: Yes. EXB (Han Exhibit 2 for 4 5 identification, ten-page document, collection of E-mails, production number 2024015018B.) 6 Mr. Han, we have marked as Han 7 Q. Exhibit 2 a collection of E-mails. 8 Is this one of the documents that you reviewed in preparation 9 for this deposition? 10 11 MR. PAYTON: And I will just identify it for the record. It is a document that we 12 13 received in this form Tuesday afternoon, and it has a number 2024015018B, and it is a ten-page 14 15 document. 16 MS. ROBBINS: And it is not, but it 17 should be stamped on every page "Confidential." It was only stamped on certain pages. 18 19 Α. Yes. Do you know how this document was 20 21 created, generated, put together? 22 MS. ROBBINS: You mean compiled in 23 the form that it's compiled in? 24 MR. PAYTON: Yes. 2058457551 25 No, sir. Α.

Han

Q. If you turn to page 5 -- and if I can just explain a little bit. What I intend to do, Mr. Han, is simply to go through the E-mails as a means of refreshing your recollection and getting a timeline, and try to have you recollect exactly what happened when you learned various things about the Day One story.

- A. Okay.
- Q. The document is not in chronological order. Things are kind of mixed in here and jumbled up. So I have asked you to go to page 5, because page 5 contains what I understand to be the earliest E-mail in this series of E-mails. It is the one right in the center of the page. It says, from Shirley Arnott on Friday, February 4. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. To, and then a long list of persons that this was copied to.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. "Mr. Dogdanich," it should be Bogdanich, "is doing a story about nicotine." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

	1		
1	Han		
2	Q. Do you recall receiving this?		
3	A. No, sir, I do not. That's not to say		
4	that I didn't, but I don't remember.		
5	MS. ROBBINS: You don't recall one		
6	way or the other?		
7	THE WITNESS: I don't recall one way		
8	or the other.		
9	Q. Do you know all the people in the		
10	"To" line?		
11	A. On the 2 line?		
12	Q. Yes.		
13	A. Oh, the "To"? Sorry. Excuse me.		
14	Yes, I do.		
15	Q. Who is Patty Wells?		
16	A. I believe Patty Wells is a secretary		
17	in Richmond.		
18	Q. And Karen Whitley?		
L 9	A. Also a secretary, but I'm not		
20	certain. I believe she is also in Richmond, a		
21	junior person of some sort.		
22	Q. Is this number of persons in the "To"		
23	line a usual number of persons to be sent an		
4	E-mail like this just for a press inquiry? $0.05$		
5	Because there's a lot.		
	7553		

MS. ROBBINS: I'm going to object to the form of your question. You have lots of other E-mails here with "To" lines that are different from this.

MR. PAYTON: I understand that.

- A. I can't say if it's a lot or not.

  What has occurred here is that my department has been carboned and members of other communications departments in the Philip Morris U.S.A. system have also been copied.
- Q. Who represents other communications departments here?
- A. Ed Beauchemin, or the first name is Beauchemin, is --
  - Q. None of us get that one right.
- A. I know. He doesn't, either. He is in Cabarrus.
  - Q. He is in North Carolina?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

23

24

25

- Q. And that's the communications department?
  - A. Well, there I believe it's termed community relations.
    - Q. And who else is from another --

Han

A. Judy Jones. Again, community relations in Richmond. Arthur Mallory, same.

Ellen Merlo is my boss at this time. Mary Ellen Moore is my secretary. Beth Morris, Mary Pearce, Jay Poole, Sarilee Tobiaz, Patty Wells and Karen Whitley, I believe -- well, Mary Pearce, Jay Poole, Sarile Tobiaz are in Richmond. I believe Patty Wells is also in Richmond. Karen Whitley might be in Louisville, but I'm not certain. And I believe Beth Morris is also in Richmond.

- Q. So you think this includes North Carolina and Richmond, it may also include Louisville?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What is the difference in the functions performed by the community relations offices and your communications office?
- A. Are you asking about the communications functions specifically?
  - O. Yes.
- A. Okay. They handle local issues, from a media standpoint.
  - Q. Local press?
  - A. Local press, primarily. Also local

<05845755c

issues, having to do with the activities in the community, business issues specific to Richmond, things like that.

- Q. So an inquiry from a national news program would always be kicked to New York?
- A. An inquiry of any -- well, kicked,

  I'm not sure. An inquiry of any sort from the

  media, we would be carboned in, so we would be

  able to see it. Issues that were not specific to

  a facility community would be addressed generally

  by the New York office.
- Q. I believe the next E-mail in chronological order is on page 4. Some of these appear several times, so it may be on page 4 and on other pages. But that's the one at the top. And it is the E-mail from you, Vic Han. And all the references in here are to you as Vic Han; that's how everybody knows you, I take it.
  - A. Yes, that's the case.
- Q. And it is from you to Carraro, Daragan, Merlo, Parrish. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

Q. And that's about 15 minutes after the other one. The first one was 11:42. This one is

2 11:57. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Q. Who is Barry Holt? Barry Holt is the vice president 5 corporate communications in Philip Morris 6 Companies, Inc. 7 Why was he cc'd? 8 Q. So that he would know, should an 9 inquiry come to him regarding this subject and 10 11 this program, that he would know what it was about. 12 13 0. The first sentence here reads, "Shirley Arnott in Richmond -- " and that's a 14 15 secretary in Richmond; right? 16 Yes, sir. "-- got the call from Day One just a 17 few moments ago." Does that at all refresh your 18 recollection that you had some other knowledge 19 20 that the call was coming? MS. ROBBINS: I object to the form. 21 No, sir, it does not. 22 Then it reads, "Tara," and that's 23

Han

1

24

25

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

Tara Carraro, "will be calling the reporter back

within the half hour to get more information.

Han

- A. No, sir.
  - Q. Do you know if there is a difference between a flavoring, a tobacco flavoring, and tobacco extract?
    - A. A difference? Yes, I believe so.
    - Q. Did you know that then?
  - A. At that time I had never heard -- at this time I had never heard of tobacco extract.
  - Q. It then says, "Airing won't be for three weeks, just preceding Surgeon General's report." What was the Surgeon General's report that was expected?
  - A. 1994? I believe it was a Surgeon

    General's report, I don't know that I knew this

    at this time, I was aware that a Surgeon

    General's report was going to come out, and I

    believe the 1994 Surgeon General's report focused

    on youth and smoking.
  - Q. It goes on to say, "Day One is asking RJR specifically for two of their scientists who have published a great deal on the subject." I'm skipping a little bit, and it says, "Dave will be talking to reporter again to discuss conditions, guidelines." Do you know who Dave is?

H	а	n

- A. I believe that refers to David Fishel.
  - Q. Who is David Fishel?

- A. Dave Fishel is at RJR, and I believe that he is the boss of Maura Payne Ellis and Peggy Carter.
- Q. Is he your counterpart or is he Mary Ellen Merlo's counterpart?
- MS. ROBBINS: You are talking about at the time?
  - MR. PAYTON: At the time.
- A. I can't answer that question because I really don't know how they are set up.
- Q. And the next to last sentence, "Have promised to stay in touch with RJR as we move forward." Do you recall what you were going to do? Were you going to call back to find out, were they going to call you back?
- A. I think it is, as the sentence reads, that we would just stay in touch.
- Q. Do you recall what you did next; what did you do about the Day One inquiries?
  - A. No, sir, I do not.
  - Q. Is this the type of inquiry where you

1 Han 2 would want to assign someone to be responsible for it? 3 Every media inquiry we get somebody 4 5 is responsible for. 6 And did you assign Karen Daragan to be responsible for this one? 7 8 I believe I did. By this point Karen 9 Daragan was pretty much responsible for the management of most media calls that we received. 10 So she was the logical person to 11 12 handle this, in any event? 13 Α. Yes, sir. Do you recall talking to Ms. Daragan 14 Q. 15 about the inquiry right after --16 I don't have a specific recollection --17 18 MS. ROBBINS: You have to let him finish his sentence. 19 20 Excuse me. Α. 21 Q. You can go ahead. 22 I don't have a specific recollection 23 of having a conversation with her immediately 24 following this E-mail, but we speak on these 2058457561 25 subjects all the time.

Han

- Q. You want to go to page 3. I believe page 3, at the bottom, is an E-mail that describes a conversation that Tara Carraro had with the Day One person. Do you see that?

  A. Yes.

  Q. Do you recall receiving this E-mail?
- A. No, I do not. Which again is not to say that I didn't.
- Q. It reads, if I'm going to the body of it, "He says his piece is on nicotine." It says "alleged," but it is misspelled, alleged nicotine addiction.

MS. ROBBINS: Well, it's a typo.

MR. PAYTON: A typo, I'm sorry.

- Q. "Alleged nicotine addiction, the debate over nicotine addiction." And then it goes on, I'm skipping something, "He's looking for corporate take on nicotine and alleged addiction. He's got the Washington Monthly piece (The Filtered Truth)." Were you familiar with the Washington Monthly piece then?
  - A. I believe I was.
- Q. And this describes it, that it accused the tobacco companies of being out to

create a nation of addicts and trick people into smoking products with higher levels of tar and nicotine?

A. I cannot say for sure, but certainly that's what the article was about.

MS. ROBBINS: You're speaking as of now?

THE WITNESS: As of now.

MS. ROBBINS: In that sentence.

Your question didn't really say what you were asking in terms of time.

MR. PAYTON: I see. Well, if he doesn't remember if the description is accurate today, I guess you don't remember -- that's fine.

Q. At the end of that paragraph, "he," the reporter, "would like Philip Morris to respond to that claim and also speak to what our motivation is for producing and selling tobacco products."

Did Philip Morris have a response to this claim that was apparently contained in the Washington Monthly piece, that tobacco companies are out to create a nation of addicts and trick

Han people into smoking products that have higher tar and nicotine levels?

- A. I'm sorry, could you ask me that again? Did we have a response?
  - O. Yes.

MS. ROBBINS: Did they make a response --

- Q. Yes, did you make a response to the Washington Monthly piece.
- A. You know, I don't recall if we did or if we got any inquiries about it that would require -- inquiries that would require a response. And I'm not a hundred percent certain -- well, I think we spoke to that reporter, but I'm not a hundred percent certain.
- Q. The reporter who did the Washington Monthly piece?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. At that time, this is February 4,
  1994, was there a Philip Morris position, whether
  you had made it known to the Washington Monthly
  piece or not, about whether or not tobacco
  companies are out to create a nation of addicts
  and trick people into smoking products with

higher levels of tar and nicotine?

- A. Those are both false claims.
- Q. Mr. Han, I am going to mark as the next exhibit a copy of what I think I mentioned earlier, which is a set of materials that I believe are your notes.
  - A. Okay.

MS. ROBBINS: Are you going to, John, continue to use this exhibit as well?

MR. PAYTON: Yes, we are going to come back to that.

MS. ROBBINS: Let me just say, John has been reading only certain portions of these E-mails to you and asking you questions about it, that's fine, but you should read all the sentences of the E-mails so you can give full answers to his questions.

MR. PAYTON: That's exactly right.

And if at any time I ask you a question and you give an answer, and then you look back and you see something that you notice in there that would have helped you explain the answer or would have changed your answer, just let me know and we will go back.

EXB (Han Exhibit 3 for 2 identification, pages from Mr. Han's notebook.) 3 I have just marked as Han 3 a 4 multi-page document that begins with a number 5 6 that says PA 426619, and I believe it is pages 7 from a notebook that was yours, that you 8 maintained. Is that right? 9 Α. Yes, sir. And you maintained it during what I'm 10 Q. calling the relevant time period, January, 11 12 February, March 1994? 13 Α. I believe so. 14 MS. ROBBINS: John, for your 15 convenience, if you use the other Bates numbers on it, then the index that we have provided to 16 17 you will correlate with the longer Bates number, 18 not the PA number. 19 MR. PAYTON: Let me give the second 20 Bates number that's on here as well. 21 2023916591. 22 There's a lot of blank pages in Q. 23 there. We will go to the pages, not all of them, 24 but just some of the pages that I think will help 25 us understand these inquiries. You can look

Han

1 Han through it if you want. The first page I want to 2 3 go to is the very first page that has notes on And it is, I believe, the third page in. 4 5 And I believe that's where you are. It has at the top right-hand corner 6 7 "Day One," and in the top left-hand corner it says "Staff meeting," I believe. 8 9 One of my rare staff meetings. 10 And under "Staff meeting," it says 0. "2/7," which I take to be February 7, Monday, the 11 Monday after the Friday, February 4, telephone 12 13 call about the Day One story. 14 Α. Oh. Okay. 15 Q. Do you recall this staff meeting? 16 Α. The actual meeting? 17 That you had one. Q. 18 No, sir, I don't recall the meeting. 19 No, sir, I don't. 20 Let me just ask you a few questions Q. 21 about your notes then. In the upper right-hand 22 corner it says "Day One." Do you see that? 23 Yes, sir. Α. 24 And under that it says, as I read it, 25 "Vic Denoble."

1	Han		
2	A. Yes, sir.		
3	Q. Who is Vic Denoble?		
4	A. A scientist who once worked for		
5	Philip Morris.		
6	Q. Do you know if he worked for Philip		
7	Morris then, that is, in 1994?		
8	A. He did not.		
9	Q. Do you know when he stopped working		
10	for Philip Morris?		
11	A. I do not know the exact year.		
12	Q. Can you read I can't read the rest		
13	of it. Can you read it? It says "Former Philip		
14	Morris "		
15	A. It says "Former PM now with," and I		
16	can't read the last word.		
17	Q. And the box underneath that, can you		
18	read that?		
19	A. Yes, sir.		
20	Q. What does that say?		
21	A. "Carchman".		
22	Q. Who is Carchman?		
23	A. Carchman is a scientist at Philip $_{ imes}$		
24	Morris.  Q. C-a-r-c-h-m-a-n?		
25	Q. C-a-r-c-h-m-a-n?		
	68		

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And he is a scientist whose field is what?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you remember why his name is in your notes?
  - A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. Is there a relationship between him and Denoble?
  - A. Only that they are both scientists.
- Q. Under "Staff meeting" and then "2/7," there are four numbers. The first one says "Greg Cummings"; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Who is that?
- A. Greg Cummings is a gentleman who works in Richmond. I do not recall what his position is or what his activities are. But he had requested to visit other departments that he was unfamiliar with.
  - Q. He was a Philip Morris employee?
- A. Yes, in Richmond. And the human resources department contacted me at one point and said that this was his interest, and would I

1 Han mind having him come up for a day to observe my 2 department and other departments in the corporate 3 affairs department. 4 Q. And under that there is a number that 5 says 2, it says, "Day One - KD coordinate." Do I 6 7 understand that to mean Karen Daragan to coordinate the Day One? 8 Yes, sir. Α. 9 10 Q. Do you recall what you said to her at this staff meeting about that? 11 No, sir. 12 Α. 13 Ο. What would coordinate mean, just in general? 14 Generally, to be the point person, 15 Α. 16 the person who will be -- maintain contact with 17 the reporter. And under that it says 3, "Q and A 18 Q. 19 Miller." 20 No. Α. 21 Q. Miles? 22 That's Miles. Α. 23 Miles is the CEO? 0. 2058457570 24 Yes, sir. Was. Α. Was. 25 MS. ROBBINS: At that time.

1 Han Was at that time. 2 MR. PAYTON: Q. 3 Do you recall what this Q & A was 4 about? Yes, sir. 5 Α. 0. What was it about? 6 7 It was for the annual meeting. Α. 8 0. And, again, this is something that your office, I take it the other side, would 9 You said they did writing? 10 prepare? That's right. 11 Α. The editorial side? 12 0. The editorial side would be the ones 13 14 who primarily would be responsible for preparing 15 certain Q and A for Mr. Miles, in preparation for 16 the annual meeting. 17 When you have a staff meeting, and I understand you don't have a specific recollection 18 of this one, but are staff meetings everybody 19 that is in the corrected flow chart, that is, the 20 21 editorial side and the communications side and the media program side? 22 23 MS. ROBBINS: I don't know what you 2058457571 24 mean by the corrected flow chart. 25 The flow chart as I --

Han

MR. PAYTON: Withdraw that.

- Q. Is it everybody that you described in your testimony earlier that was reporting to you when you were the director of communications in January-February 1994, that is, the editorial persons and the media programs persons?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you remember how you came to keep the notes like this? Are the 1, 2, 3, 4, things you wanted to go over at the meeting?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. So this is sort of your agenda?
  - A. Such as it is.
- Q. And the comments or the notations on the right side are in response to what happened at the meeting?
  - A. I do not know.
- Q. Do you know why you wrote "Vic Denoble" there?
  - A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. I want to go back to the E-mails.

  You can keep both things out there in front of
  you. The E-mail I would like you to go to now is
  on page 4, at the bottom. And I believe it is

Han 1 the next in chronological order. It's the E-mail 2 from you, Vic Han, on Monday, February 7, 1994, 3 11:26 a.m., Subject: Day One, to Ellen Merlo, 4 Steve Parrish. Do you see that? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 Do you know from the 11:26 time 7 whether this would have been after your staff 8 9 meeting? I mean, would you have staff meetings first thing? If you don't remember, just say you 10 don't remember. 11 I don't remember, I'm sorry. 12 Α. 13 0. To Ellen Merlo. And Ellen Merlo you said was your boss? 14 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 Q. Who was Steve Parrish? 17 Steve Parrish is general counsel, 18 Philip Morris U.S.A. 19 Why was he being sent these E-mails? 20 He's on all the other E-mails, and you can check 21 and see, I believe he is a recipient on the "To" 22 line of all the other E-mails that we have gone 23 over.

Well, as I said earlier --

MS. ROBBINS: Is your question as to

Α.

24

2 this one or as to all E-mails?

MR. PAYTON: It's a general question about these E-mails, which is why is Steve Parrish being sent these E-mails.

- A. As I mentioned earlier, with the media inquiry, there's usually a set number of people who receive that, the E-mail, in my department, and we will add other people to that list should we feel that they had pertinent information or it applied to their particular area. In this particular case we wanted to make certain that the legal team, Mr. Parrish, was involved because of the issue of nicotine and addiction.
- Q. And what was the concern about nicotine and addiction that causes you to want the legal team to be involved?
  - A. It's an issue --
- MS. ROBBINS: I will object to the form of the question, your using the word "concern." You can answer the question.
- A. Nicotine and addiction has long been a part of the litigation that is against the tobacco industry.

Han

- Q. It reads, "At this point, we are of a mind to provide comment over the phone and not put anyone on the show."
  - A. "We are of the mind," yes, sir.
  - Q. "We are of the mind," yes.

Does that refresh your recollection at all about what was discussed at the staff meeting about the Day One inquiry?

- A. No, sir. I don't know that we discussed Day One extensively at that staff meeting. I don't know.
- Q. Do you remember if there was not a staff meeting but just a meeting to discuss Day One?
- A. I don't have any specific memory of one. But, as I said before, my team and I, the communications side of it, spoke on media issues on an ongoing basis.
- Q. How close are your offices, physically, Karen Daragan, Tara Carraro?

  MS. ROBBINS: At the time.
  - A. At the time, in February?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. Karen Daragan -- if we wanted to, we

Han

could talk to each other without leaving our offices. Karen Daragan was immediately to my right. Tara was immediately in front of her, I believe. Brendan was on the other side of Tara. And Jack Lenzi was across from me. I could look into his office.

- Q. People spent a lot of time in other people's offices?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In the next sentence, I'm just going to read it, "Understand, Day One is asking for someone from Philip Morris to appear to discuss why we sell cigarettes from a corporate/business perspective although we would have to respond to claims made in the Washington Monthly piece, perhaps including charge industry 'baits and switches' soft pack for hard pack because product in hard packs have more nicotine."

Does that refresh your recollection about any of the discussion that went on?

MS. ROBBINS: You can answer, but I would ask you first to read the entire note.

MR. PAYTON: Read the whole note.

MS. ROBBINS: See what the whole

2 thing says.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAYTON: Just five more sentences. Read the whole note.

- A. No, sir, it does not.
- Q. The sentence I read, which is "baits and switches soft pack for hard pack because product in hard packs have more nicotine," at that time did you know if the product in hard packs had more nicotine than the product in soft packs?
  - A. I do not know.
    - Q. You didn't then and you don't now?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- Q. The last sentence says, "We will stay in communication with Maura," and again that's Maura Payne, Maura Payne Ellis?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Did you expect to be the person who stayed in touch with Maura Payne Ellis or was that going to also be delegated?
  - A. I don't know whether "delegate" would be the right word. There were no constraints on any of my people to call her up.

MR. PAYTON: I want to take a short

2 break.

1.7

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 11:12, and we are off the record.

(A recess was taken.)

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 11:23, and we are back on the record.

BY MR. PAYTON:

- Q. Mr. Han, I was asking you some questions about the staff meeting that took place, or any other meetings that took place on February 7, 1994. Let me ask you to turn to the fourth page of your notes. Just turn -- yes, exactly. This is a page that has no date on it. The upper right-hand corner has a word that I read as Temko. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. Have I read that properly?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And on the left it says "Day One," and under that it says "Vic Denoble" again "/addiction." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I have assumed, tell me if this is wrong, that this is a continuation of notes or

maybe the actual notes from the staff meeting.

- A. No, sir, I don't believe that it is.
- Q. I will tell you why I said that. You can just tell me, I just want to know the answer. It seems to have notes that relate to what was in the E-mail, which should be right in front of you, the February 7 E-mail, "Maura" is down here, "Day One," "nicotine experience." The name of the scientist that you had on the prior sheet, Carchman, is also there. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Does that help you remember what this page is referring to or relating to?
- A. No, I'm afraid not, it does not. And I don't believe it's part of the staff meeting.

  One reason is there's plenty of room on the page if this was my staff meeting. And I unfortunately from time to time open up the notebook and just write things and not necessarily be in chronological order.
- Q. So this just may be notes you made in the notebook?
- A. This could be before or after the staff meeting.

Han

- Q. Do you go through the pages -- this notebook is just a notebook with lined paper in order? Is it spiral bound?
  - A. It is a spiral bound notebook, yes.
- Q. Do you make entries in the order of the pages?
  - A. I try to.

- Q. So it would be more likely than not that these notes would have been made after the prior page's notes?
  - A. More likely than not.
- Q. Let me -- let's just go down this page and see what you do remember about this.

  Vic Denoble now has after him the word

  "addiction." Was that Vic Denoble's area? He's a scientist; right?
- A. Yes. I don't think that addiction would be an area, per se. He was a research scientist. He was looking into analogs.
- Q. If it's not an area, was it something he was knowledgeable about?
- A. I believe so. I mean, I certainly know more about him know than I did when this notebook was written.

1	Han
2	Q. And addiction is nicotine addiction?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. Under Vic Denoble, it says, I think
5	it says, "SHB," or
6	A. It is "SHB," and it stands for
7	Schick, Hardy carried & Bacon.
8	Q. They are a law firm?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Do you recall why they are noted
11	here?
12	A. It appears that they are getting
13	information from some documents, but I do not
14	know what the circumstance is for my having noted
15	this.
16	Q. You don't remember if there is a
17	relationship between what they're doing and Vic
18	Denoble?
19	A. I cannot say with certainty that I do
20	know, but it looks like those are together.
21	Q. And Carchman, it looks like he's
22	together with all this, too?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Carchman has a, it looks like an
25	arrow or a mark to the right, and then it has $\frac{\alpha}{5}$

E-mail, which is -- I can't remember what page we looked at it.

- A. There's one on page 5. That's not the one, I guess. There's another one on page 4.
- Q. In the February 4 E-mail from you to Tara Carraro, Karen Daragan, and others, there is a reference in there to "Dave will be talking to reporter again to discuss conditions/guidelines."

  Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Do you know if you heard back about whether or not Dave Fishel, you said that was, did that, talked to the reporter again?
  - A. No, I do not know.
- Q. And under "David" on your notes, I'm back to your notes, it says, "David just yesterday," and then underneath it it says, "considering going ahead." Is that what that says?
  - A. That's what I read, yes.
- Q. And then "middle of next week" is all the way to the right on this little bunch of --
  - A. Right.
  - Q. And then under that, "Purely nicotine

1	Han
2	story"?
3	A. Yes.
4	MS. ROBBINS: I'm not sure I agree
5	with all your spatial characterizations, but go
6	ahead.
7	Q. And to the right of that it says "Day
8	One nicotine experience"; is that right?
9	A. It looks like "exposure," but
10	Q. Okay.
11	And underneath that what do you
12	think it says?
13	A. I don't know. I mean, it looks like
14	"exposure." It could be "experience." When you
15	said that, I didn't think of that.
16	Q. Under that in a box and to the left
17	is "someone" can you read that?
18	A. It looks like it says "someone who
19	was deposed."
20	Q. Does any of that ring any bells about
21	what you were taking these notes from?
22	A. Not with great certainty, with any
23	certainty, sir. They could be notes that I took

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

Q. And do you remember when you spoke

when I spoke with Maura Ellis.

24

Han 1 with Maura Ellis? 2 3 I don't recall, but based on these 4 E-mails it was on February 4, I presume. 5 I see. The February 4 time you spoke 0. to Maura Ellis. Do you know if you had more than 6 7 one conversation with Maura? My understanding is you talked to her on more than one occasion. 8 I spoke with RJR on more than one 10 I'm not necessarily certain if I spoke with Maura herself on more than one occasion. 11 That's the reason -- well, I know we said that 12 13 this page probably occurred after the 7th, but, 14 again, I can't guarantee you about that. On the right side of this same page 15 of your notes, where it says "Temko," do you know 16 who Temko is? 17 18 Α. Yes, sir, he's an attorney. 19 0. This is a lawyer at Covington & 20 Burling? 21 Covington & Burling, yes. Α. 22 Do you know what Mr. Temko's Ο. 23 relationship is to any of these other notes? 24 I'm afraid not, sir. And way below that -- not way below 25 Q.

1	Han
2	that, but below that there is a notation that
3	says "RJR," and then underneath that, "provide
4	schedule."
5	A. "Scientist."
6	Q. "Scientist." Thank you. And under
7	that it says, "Fred Newman"?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Who is Fred Newman?
10	A. Fred Newman is an attorney at Philip
11	Morris. I believe he was general counsel of
12	Philip Morris U.S.A.
13	Q. The "RJR provide scientist," that is
14	something that I believe
15	A. There is a reference to that in one
16	of these E-mails.
17	Q. Yes, there is.
18	In your E-mail on February 4 do
L 9	you have that in front of you?

- A. February 4? Yes, sir.
- Q. Right in the center of the E-mail it says "Day One is asking RJR specifically for two of their scientists." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.

21

22

23

24

25

Q. "Who have published a great deal on

Han

the subject. RJR is taking the request on advisement and will not provide definitive response until next week." Do you see that?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Looking back at your notes, do you think this note refers to that or to RJR having decided to provide the scientists, or does it refresh your recollection at all?
- A. You mean refresh my recollection as to the relationship between this E-mail and this page?
  - Q. Yes.
    - MS. ROBBINS: In terms of time?
      MR. PAYTON: Yes.
- Q. My question is whether or not you think these notes are notes from your conversation that's reflected in this E-mail, or notes from a followup conversation, because it could be that this is RJR telling you they are going to provide the scientists. But you have to tell me.
- A. I do not believe that these -- I believe these notes have to do with the same subject matter that is in this E-mail.

1 Han 2 0. And would have been notes of the same conversation? 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 5 Do you know why Fred Newman is listed there? 6 7 Α. No, sir. 8 The note to the right of Fred Newman, can you read that? 10 I can't read the first word, but the 11 last other two would be "under advisement." 12 MS. ROBBINS: "Took"? Is that "took"? 13 14 THE WITNESS: Could be "took," "take." I don't know. 15 Could be "took under advisement"? 16 It's hard to figure out the first word? 17 Yes, I can't read the first word. 18 Α. 19 0. And underneath that is "Maura" again? 20 Yes, sir. Α. 21 And that's, again, Maura Payne Ellis? Ο. 22 Α. Yes, sir.

that related to nicotine or nicotine addiction

Had you been involved in other issues

prior to this Day One story?

23

Han

MS. ROBBINS: Notwithstanding -- I'm going to object to your using the phrase "nicotine addiction."

- A. I was familiar with the issue.

  Certainly we had received media calls about nicotine and addiction as part of questions we received. If that's how you define involvement, then the answer would be yes.
- Q. There was some correspondence which was between the Centers for Disease Control and Philip Morris and other tobacco companies, in the fall and winter of 1993, about nicotine as an additive and whether or not that would have to be disclosed. Do you recall any of that?
- A. No, I do not recall that. I saw some documents during my preparation, I believe, that related to that. But that was the first time I had seen it.

MS. ROBBINS: Fall of '93, winter of '94.

Q. Let me show you four documents that I believe relate to this exchange.

EXB (Han Exhibit 4 for identification, letter to Michael P. Eriksen,

Han 1 2 from Stanley L. Temko, dated January 19, 1994.) 3 EXB (Han Exhibit 5 for 4 identification, letter to Stanley L. Temko, from Michael P. Eriksen, dated December 10, 1993.) 5 EXB (Han Exhibit 6 for 6 7 identification, letter to Michael P. Eriksen, from Stanley L. Temko, dated February 25, 1993.) 8 EXB 9 (Han Exhibit 7 for identification, letter to Michael A. Miles, from 10 11 Michael P. Eriksen, dated September 27, 1993.) 12 MS. ROBBINS: Are you marking them in 13 chronological order? I don't know how he 14 MR. PAYTON: 15 marked them. I handed them to him most recent 16 and went backwards, so the one I believe was 17 marked first is a January 19, 1994 letter from 18 Mr. Temko to Michael Eriksen at the Centers for 19 Disease Control. 20 The next document, I don't know the 21 number, is a letter dated December 10, and it is 22 from Michael Eriksen to Stanley Temko at 23 Covington & Burling. 24 The next letter is an October 25 2058457592 25 letter from Stanley Temko to Michael Eriksen at

the Centers for Disease Control.

And the final document is the first document, September 27, 1993, a letter from Michael Eriksen to Michael Miles, the CEO of Philip Morris Companies.

- Q. Do you see these documents, Mr. Han?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are these the documents that you were just remembering reviewing?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. You don't believe that you saw any of these documents at or about the time they were sent or received?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Only -- well, let me ask this: Did you see any of these documents in February of 1994? And let me direct your attention to the top line of the first three documents, where, even though they are dated the dates I gave, there is a fax line at the top, February 24. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And three of these have the same fax line, appear to have been faxed at the same time,

1 Han 2 on the same day. I see that. 3 Α. Do you recall seeing any of those 4 5 three documents in February of 1994? No, sir, I do not. 6 Α. 7 Did you note something there? No. I'm just reading these. 8 haven't read them before. I didn't know this stuff was going on. 10 I was asking you those questions only 11 12 to find out if you were aware this was going on. I was not. 13 Α. Q. Is --14 15 Α. Are you finished with these? 16 Yes. Q. If there had been a press angle to 17 18 that correspondence, then maybe you would have been involved? 19 20 MS. ROBBINS: I object to the form of 21 the question. 22 Do you know what I mean? 23 I don't know what you mean by a press angle. 24 2058457594 25 If these had been released to the

Han 1 press, or -- I mean, the letters from Covington & 2 Burling to the CDC are actually marked 3 confidential. 4 5 Α. Right. Do you see that? 6 7 Α. Yes. So this was not public correspondence 8 Q. 9 in any way. I don't know. 10 Α. Was your office involved in FDA 11 issues that related to nicotine at this time, 12 13 January-February 1994? 14 Α. No, sir. At that time, January, early 15 16 February, I think we are up to February 7, 1994, 17 were you aware of any FDA interest in cigarettes, tobacco, nicotine? 18 19 No, sir, I was not. 20 Do you have any independent recollection of what happened once we go past 21 22 Monday, February 7, in trying to remember what 23 happened in connection with this story? 2058457595 MS. ROBBINS: You are talking about 24 25 any time after February 7?

MR. PAYTON: The next event.

б

MS. ROBBINS: You are asking whether he knows what the next event is, independent of the E-mails?

MR. PAYTON: Yes.

- A. I'm afraid not, sir.
- Q. Do you want to look at page 1.

  MS. ROBBINS: Of the E-mail.
- Q. I don't remember the exhibit number, but of the E-mails.

MS. ROBBINS: Han 2.

- Q. And I believe the E-mail on that first page relates to a February 14 telephone conversation between Karen Daragan and Walt Bogdanich. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you remember having any conversation with Ms. Daragan about her telephone call with Mr. Bogdanich? I'm not asking if you remember what happened in the conversation, but do you remember having a conversation with her?
- A. I'm sorry. Could you ask me that question again?
  - Q. The question is whether or not you

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

E-mail what this program is going to be about.

Han

- Q. I'm asking this. I think you have misunderstood what I'm trying to get. I'm trying to figure out if, understanding this, you said, "I need to know more, let's call Maura and see what RJR knows, let's call the reporter back." I mean, did you have any approach?
- A. You mean did I take any action based on this?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. Not that I can recall. I don't recall having meetings about this. But as I've said a couple of times, my staff and I talk about these things all the time.
- Q. Did there come a time when you learned that RJR had decided to make two scientists available to be interviewed by Mr. Bogdanich or someone at Day One?
- A. I know that it occurred. I don't know that RJR said we've decided to do it or not. That part I don't recall. But I do know that it occurred.
- Q. And do you remember talking to someone at RJR after those interviews had

occurred about what had happened during those interviews?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Who did you speak with?
- A. I believe I spoke with Peggy Carter, but I'm not a hundred percent certain.
  - Q. And what did she tell you?
- A. She told me that she felt that she had been misled, that in conversations with Day One, and trying to determine who the right person would be to have the interview, she concluded, and I -- she concluded that, based on those conversations, this was going to be about nicotine, and that a scientist would be the appropriate person.

However, when the interview took place, the questions had to do with manufacturing, and these two people were not that knowledgeable about that area. And so she felt that she had been misled.

- Q. Did she tell you that the scientists had been asked about reconstituted tobacco sheet?
  - A. I'm sorry, sir, I don't recall.
  - Q. At that time, February 1994, did you

Han

know what reconstituted tobacco sheet was?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What did you understand it to be?

  MS. ROBBINS: Just for the sake of clarity, are you including all of February 1994, or are you talking about time of his conversation with Ms. Carter?

MR. PAYTON: That's very good.

- Q. At the time of the conversation with Ms. Carter -- and let me pin that down a little bit. I believe the interviews take place on the 21st of February, so I believe your conversation would have been that day or thereafter. Were you familiar with reconstituted tobacco sheet?
- A. I knew of -- I knew about reconstituted tobacco sheet, and -- I mean, not how it was made, but I knew of its existence and generally what it was, but I knew that before I became involved with Philip Morris. I knew that probably starting around the late seventies.
- Q. How did you know what you knew about reconstituted tobacco sheet?
- A. It was in the mid-seventies -- no, actually I started smoking cigars before that.

But that's when I started buying books about cigars. And it was not called reconstituted tobacco in those books. It was called homogenized tobacco leaf, or something like that, HDL. And so I was aware of reconstituted tobacco back then. And if you go find a cheap cigar someplace, you will find it's the wrapper.

- Q. You said you read books on cigars?
- A. There weren't many of them, but I read them. I've got them all, if you would like to borrow some some day.
- Q. Did the knowledge that you had from the seventies, or sixties, when you started smoking --
  - A. Seventies.

- Q. Seventies, smoking cigars. Did that also include knowledge about the use of reconstituted tobacco in cigarettes?
  - A. No, sir.

MR. PAYTON: We are going to take a break because we are running out of tape.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 11:57.

This is the end of Tape No. 1 of the deposition of Victor Han. 11:57. We are off the record.

6 we are back on the record. BY MR. PAYTON: The knowledge that you had about 8 Ο. 9 reconstituted tobacco sheet, that you acquired in the seventies, was about cigars and not 10 cigarettes? 11 12 Α. Correct. Did you thereafter acquire any 13 knowledge about reconstituted tobacco sheet as it 14 was used in cigarettes? 15 16 Subsequent to --17 Up to February 21, or thereabouts, 1994. 18 19 Α. I would say not really. 20 Q. And the reconstituted tobacco sheet that you knew something about that related to 21 cigars was the reconstituted tobacco sheet that 22 was used as the wrapper for cigars? 23 Among other things, I believe. 24

Han

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Today is March

This is the beginning of Tape No. 2 of

(A recess was taken.)

the deposition of Victor Han. It is 12:05, and

What were the other things?

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

25

16, 1995.

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

Han

this telephone conversation February 21-22 --

Who I think I had.

Did Peggy Carter, with whom you had

Oh, filler.

Α.

Α.

1

2

3

4

$\Box$	$\sim$	m

2	Q.	Aside from the E-mails that are in
3	Han Exhibit	2, did you review any other E-mails
4	in preparat	ion for your testimony today?
5		MS. ROBBINS: Take a minute to
6		MR. PAYTON: Yes, go ahead, take a
7	look.	
8	Α.	Others besides this, you mean?
9	Q.	That's correct.
10	Α.	I don't think so. I think this is
11	it.	
12		Actually, there might have been one
13	other. I co	ouldn't tell you what it is.
14		MS. ROBBINS: You are asking whether
15	he reviewed	any other E-mails?
16		MR. PAYTON: Yes.
17		MS. ROBBINS: Other than these?
18		MR. PAYTON: Yes.
19		MS. ROBBINS: If you don't recall
20	whether you	did
21	Α.	I don't recall. I know I reviewed
22	these. I do	on't know if I reviewed others.
23	Q.	Let me ask you to go back to your

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

notes on Exhibit 3, and turn to the next place

where there is writing. There are a number of

24

309/04000

Han

7th. The telephone conversation between Ms.

Daragan and Mr. Bogdanich was Monday, the 14th.

The interview with RJR was Monday, the 21st. I'm just trying to see if those sort of little dates and relationships help you place "Monday - John Rupp," or anything else on this page.

- A. I'm afraid not, sir.
- Q. The next writing says, "According to," and I can't read the next word.
  - A. Most.

- Q. "According to most recent conversation with Day One, their subject is nicotine."
  - A. That's what I read.
  - Q. It doesn't --
  - A. I'm afraid not.
- Q. Can you turn to the next page. I have a box that has inside it, although it has crosses through it, I believe the word "Background." Is that correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then I have a date, and the date is either 2/14/93 or 2/24/93.
  - A. That's what it says.

- Q. What do you think that date is?
- A. It's probably 2/14/94.

- Q. It's the same question. Is it then more likely that the notes from the prior page happened on 2/14/94 or before?
- A. It would be more likely, but, again, I did things out of order.
- Q. Mr. Han, I think I asked you earlier if you had been asked to help provide some responses by Philip Morris to various questions, interrogatories, that ABC had put to Philip Morris, and you said you had. Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. There was a particular question that I want to ask you about, which is a question that related to contacts with other tobacco companies. Do you recall that question?
  - A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. I'm going to read you the question, but I'm going to tell you where I'm going, because I simply want to know what your involvement was in something. There is an answer that is provided, that goes through what

2 conversations --

MS. ROBBINS: For my sake, what interrogatory are you talking about, so I can follow along?

MR. PAYTON: I'm coming to it.

MS. ROBBINS: I'm sorry.

Q. That is inquiring about contacts between Philip Morris and other tobacco companies, and the answer deals with your contacts with RJR. And that is interrogatory 12, and Philip Morris' incorporated answers to John Martin's first set of interrogatories.

MR. PAYTON: I have one extra set which I can let you look at.

MS. ROBBINS: I have mine.

- Q. Let me just hand you this. I'm not going to mark it at this time. I just want you to look at it. This is Philip Morris,
  Incorporated's answers to John Martin's first set of interrogatories. Do you know if you have seen this document before?
  - A. I have not.
- Q. Let me ask you to open it to page 63, which I have flagged with a blue flag for you

there. Do you have it? And it is interrogatory 12. And I would like you to read that, and I'm going to ask you if you have seen that interrogatory before.

And then I have flagged the substance of the answer that relates to you, which is on page 64, and you may want to read both the interrogatory and the substance of the answer that relates to you. The intervening stuff I don't think actually is of significance to you, but go ahead and take a look.

MR. PAYTON: Barbara, I'm going to be willing to read his, the response that I say relates to him. I just wanted him to be able to read the question and to have looked at this.

MS. ROBBINS: Let me just see the document for a second.

Just so you are aware, these interrogatory answers were done on or about August 18, they were served on ABC, August 18, 1994.

- Q. Mr. Han, do you recall having seen interrogatory 12?
  - A. Yes, sir.

1 Han 2 And did you provide the information 3 that is in the response, the substantive response 4 that is on page 64, that has a number 1 in front 5 of the relevant paragraph? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 0. Did you draft this? 8 Α. No, sir. 9 Q. You gave this information to the attorneys and they drafted it? 10 11 Α. I believe so. I gave the information to the attorneys. 12 13 Q. Did you review this before they provided this answer, or do you know? 14 15 review the language that you read here? 16 Yes, sir, I did. Α. 17 And did you provide the information 18 orally or did you provide E-mails or other 19 documentation in response to interrogatory 12? 20 Α. This was oral. 21 Q. You were interviewed? 22 A. So to speak. 23 Q. Fair enough.

The first sentence is about your conversation with Maura Payne, which is in the

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

24

1 |

Han

E-mail, in an E-mail, and the second sentence references the E-mail. The third sentence reads, "Further, Mr. Han had a subsequent conversation with Ms. Payne about the RJR interview by Day One sometime after the interview took place. He, Mr. Han, also believes that he most probably would have spoken to Ms. Payne on other occasions up until the time of the February 28 broadcast, but he has no specific recollection of a particular conversation. "

Does the sentence that begins
"Further, Mr. Han had a subsequent conversation
with Ms. Payne about the RJR interview by Day One
sometime after the interview took place," does
that refresh your recollection about who you had
the telephone conversation with?

- A. I'm afraid it does not.
- Q. Well, is it more likely that this is the more accurate version, because you gave it back last summer?
- A. A little closer. I can't say that it is.
- Q. Have you talked to Ms. Payne about any of these events since last summer?

MS. ROBBINS: These events being the Day One broadcast, interview --

- Q. The conversations that you had with her in February about the Day One upcoming story.
  - A. No, sir.

- Q. The last sentence indicates that you think you may have had additional conversations with her, but you are not sure. I take it that is still what you think, you may have but you're not sure?
  - A. That's correct, sir.
- Q. May you also have had additional conversations with Ms. Carter but you're not sure?
  - A. On this subject matter?
- Q. On this subject matter, yes, in this time frame.
  - A. It's possible.
- Q. It's my understanding that one of these telephone conversations that occurred with Ms. Payne, Ms. Daragan was also on the call. Do you recall a conversation like that?

MS. ROBBINS: You are referring to

Ms. Daragan's testimony yesterday?

2.3

- Q. I'm saying it's my understanding that there was a call between you and Ms. Payne in which Ms. Daragan also participated, on this subject matter, in this time frame.
- A. And I don't recall that. Which is not to say that it might not have happened. I don't have a recollection.
- Q. I now want to go to what I believe is the last E-mail that is included in here, that takes place before the broadcast, which is a February 24 E-mail from Karen Daragan to you, Vic Han, and others, and that's on page 7, bottom of page 7, to the top of page 8. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you want to take a look at that.

  It begins with -- let me ask you
  about the hard copy Chuck Wall. Who is Chuck
  Wall?
- A. Chuck Wall is an attorney at Philip Morris.
  - Q. He works for Mr. Parrish?
- A. No, sir. He reports to Murray Bring, the general counsel, Philip Morris Companies,

Inc.

- Q. Chuck Wall is a lawyer for Philip Morris Companies?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And Denise Keane, do you know who she is?
- A. Yes. She is also an attorney, and she is Philip Morris U.S.A.'s attorney, or one of Philip Morris U.S.A.'s attorneys. U.S.A. is her client. She's an inside lawyer, but U.S.A. is her client, or her responsibility.
- Q. Does the E-mail that you have at Philip Morris U.S.A. allow you to send E-mails to persons at Philip Morris Companies?
- MS. ROBBINS: At the time this E-mail was sent?
  - MR. PAYTON: Yes, at that time.
  - Q. If you know.
- A. To those who have the appropriate equipment, yes.
- Q. This E-mail begins with "As discussed, Walt Bogdanich called me last night from ABC Day One." Do you recall discussing this telephone conversation with Ms. Daragan?

- A. I'm afraid I don't.
- Q. Do you know why it is created as an E-mail the next day?

MS. ROBBINS: As opposed to some other time?

- Q. As opposed to the day before, when the conversation took place.
  - A. No, sir.

Q. I'm going to the second paragraph, where it reads, "He," that's Mr. Bogdanich, "says now in addition to focusing on nicotine addiction with bites from Koop and addiction specialists, they will make the charge that cigarette companies are artificially adding nicotine to cigarettes rather than naturally using tobacco leaf." Let me stop there for a second.

Is that what you learned from RJR after you had the conversation with them about the interviews of the RJR scientists?

MS. ROBBINS: Is the substance of that sentence --

Q. Is the substance of that something that you had already learned as a result of your conversation with RJR about the interviews of the

RJR scientists?

A. I'm sorry, but I can't recall if I did or not.

Q. I am continuing in that same paragraph. "And, he has specific Philip Morris-related questions which follow. He wants comments on these three things." And it says in parens on the next page, "He does not want on-camera interview. Had industry person already. Wouldn't tell me who. Assume RJR scientist. Phone comment from us will do." Let me stop there.

Had you told Ms. Daragan about your conversation with RJR about the interviews of their scientists, do you know? I'm asking because it says assume RJR scientist, so I'm wondering if you had told Ms. Daragan that you knew there had been an interview of RJR scientists.

MS. ROBBINS: I think he has already testified that he doesn't recall whether Ms. Daragan was part of that conversation.

MR. PAYTON: No, no, I understand she wasn't part of that conversation.

	Q.	I	am	ask	ing	if	you	ha	d re	elated	the	
conve	rsation	1 (	or t	the	subs	tar	ice ·	of	the	conve	rsati	.on
to Ms	. Darac	ar	1.									

MS. ROBBINS: Wait. One of us is misspeaking. I'm not saying she wasn't part of the conversation. Mr. Han's testimony was that he could not recall whether she was part of that conversation. Ms. Daragan's testimony, you will remember yesterday, was not that. So if you are just trying to find out whether he had a recollection of telling her about this, that's fine, but the record is what the record is.

MR. PAYTON: That's fine. And I'm just trying to find out what he did, what he remembers.

- Q. And from this, I'm asking simply because it looks like she is not aware of the RJR scientist being interviewed, if you recall having told her or you recall not having told her.
  - A. I do not --

 $\mbox{MS. ROBBINS: Finish and then I will} \\ \mbox{say what I have to say.}$ 

A. I do not have a specific recollection of having discussed it with Karen.

	MS. I	ROBE	BINS:	I w	ill	obje	ct	to	уо	ur
characteri:	zation	of	what	this	E - m	ail	doe	s o	r	does
not suggest	t.									

Q. The next thing are the three numbered items that she had said that Day One wanted comment on. The first reads, "He has Philip Morris shipping records from the late eighties that show we received thousands of gallons of an alcohol called SDA 4, specially denatured alcohol with nicotine. This alcohol has been rendered undrinkable, and the only federally approved use has for tobacco companies - for use in cigarettes."

Were you familiar with denatured alcohol at that time?

MS. ROBBINS: At the time that this E-mail was written?

MR. PAYTON: Yes, at the time of this E-mail, which is February 24, 1994.

- A. With this denatured alcohol?
- Q. With denatured alcohol.
- A. Just in general?
- Q. I will make it more specific. With alcohol denatured with nicotine being used in any

cigarette manufacturing.

- Α. No, sir.
- I take it from that that you also weren't familiar with this denatured alcohol, SDA4?
  - Α. No, sir.
- You didn't know if alcohol denatured with nicotine was or wasn't used in cigarettes? MS. ROBBINS: At the time of this

E-mail? 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- MR. PAYTON: February 24, 1994.
- MS. ROBBINS: At the time of this I'm being even more specific than that. 15 Or at the time you first --

MR. PAYTON: February 24, 1994 is the date of this E-mail.

- No, I did not know about it.
- Let's go to the second, number 2. "He has William L. Dunne, Jr., former Philip Morris R&D guy, memos. He said in 1972 at a CTR conference in St. Martin that Dunne said to the group, 'you must not think of cigarettes as a product; think of it as a package like a nicotine delivery system/storage pack for nicotine.'"

1	Han
2	Did you know at this time, February
3	24, 1994, who William L. Dunne was?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. Who was he?
6	A. A former scientist for Philip Morris.
7	Q. Was he a former scientist then? Did
8	he work for Philip Morris then?
9	A. When is "then"?
10	Q. 1994. You knew then that he was a
11	former Philip Morris scientist?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. Were you familiar with the memos that
14	are being referred to and apparently quoted from
15	in number 2 here?
16	A. I do not have any idea which memos he
17	is that are being referred to here.
18	Q. In February, February 24, 1994, were
19	you familiar with any of his memos, Mr. Dunne's
20	memos?
21	A. No, sir, I don't believe I was.  Q. Were you familiar with this CTR
22	Q. Were you familiar with this CTR
23	conference, this 1972 CTR conference?
24	A. No, sir.
25	Q. Number 3, "Question track: a) Do we
i	

use reconstituted tobacco in cigarettes? b) If so, do we treat it with tobacco extract? c) Does the tobacco extract contain nicotine?"

Did you know if Philip Morris used reconstituted tobacco in cigarettes at that time?

- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. You knew that they did?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you know if Philip Morris treated its reconstituted tobacco with tobacco extract?
  - A. No, sir, I did not.
- Q. Did you know what tobacco extract was?
  - A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So you didn't know if tobacco extract contained nicotine?
- MS. ROBBINS: At the time.
- 19 Q. At the time.
  - A. At the time, no, this was the first time I had ever heard of the term.
    - Q. The next paragraph reads, "That was it. As stated above, the piece is airing Monday night. He would like comment by Friday afternoon at the latest, and would appreciate knowing if he

is going to get something by today as they put finishing touches on piece."

Next paragraph, "Please advise on how this should be handled. Thanks. KD."

Han

Do you recall talking to Ms. Daragan about this E-mail?

- A. I recall speaking to Karen about the substance of this E-mail.
  - Q. And what do you recall?
- A. I don't recall, you know, what was said.
- Q. Do you know if anything was done as a result of your conversation with her?

MS. ROBBINS: By Mr. Han?

- Q. By yourself, or by Ms. Daragan.
- A. I have a recollection of meeting with Mr. Parrish and Mr. Wall on these subjects.

MS. ROBBINS: Before you go on, John, I'm going to advise Mr. Han that he should not testify to the substance of any conversations that he had with Mr. Parrish or Mr. Wall in this time frame, because they would be attorney-client privileged. Otherwise, you can answer Mr.

Payton's question.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

Han

MR. PAYTON: Barbara, are you familiar with the content of the conversations he had with Mr. Parrish and Mr. Wall during this time period?

MS. ROBBINS: Yes, I am.

- Q. Did you arrange the meetings with Mr. Parrish and Mr. Wall? Did you ask to meet with them?
- A. I don't recall if I asked or I was called or just went upstairs. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you believe you needed legal advice before you could decide how to proceed?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you recall when you would have had the first of any meetings with Mr. Parrish and/or Mr. Wall? This same day, February 24?
  - A. Oh, it would have been the same day.
- Q. Did you have more than one meeting with them?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. On that same day?
  - A. That I can't recall.
- MR. PAYTON: Let's mark this as Han

25 next.

EXB (Han Exhibit 8 for identification, two-page document, Philip Morris interoffice correspondence, to a distribution list, from Mr. Han, dated February 25, 1994, with attachment.)

Han

- Q. Mr. Han, I have had marked as Exhibit
  No. 8 a two-page exhibit. Page number 1 is a
  Philip Morris interoffice correspondence, dated
  February 25, 1994, from you to a distribution
  list, and attached to it is a two-paragraph
  document that has as its heading "Statement from
  Philip Morris U.S.A." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What was your role, if any, in drafting or creating this statement that's attached?
- A. I drafted it and submitted it for approval and for edits.
- Q. And this statement was to be in response to the three issues that were raised in the E-mail on February 24?
  - MS. ROBBINS: What three issues?
- Q. Go back to page 8 of the E-mails. We are at the top of page 8. The 1, 2, 3, do you

different question.

Was your statement, the statement that you drafted, intended to be responsive to the three numbered paragraphs at the top of page 8 in the E-mail?

- A. Yes, sir, it was. It was responsive to the charge, and these three points were subsets of that charge, as far as I was concerned.
- Q. Is there an earlier version of this statement? Did you prepare a draft and was it edited and this is the final, or did you write this and this is it?
  - A. No, it was edited.
- Q. Do any of the prior versions still exist, or do you know?
  - A. I do not know.
- Q. Who participated in the editing of your draft?
- A. Mr. Wall, Mr. Parrish. That's the editing. There were others who looked at it for substance. And also it was shown -- reviewed by a number of other people to get their input as to whether they thought this is appropriate. That

1	
2	į

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Han

would include, as I said, experts, but also people in our Washington, D.C., office.

- Q. Do you remember who the other people were that reviewed this to give their input?
  - A. Well, that was conversation --

MS. ROBBINS: He is not asking for the substance of any conversation. He just wants to know the names of people that you remember who looked at it.

- A. Not necessarily looked at it but heard it and said this is right, for example.
- Q. It was read to them over the phone, you mean?
- A. Correct. I believe Mr. Charles heard it. There might have been others besides him.
  - O. Who was Mr. Charles?
- A. He was the retired scientist for Philip Morris. His name appears in my notebook.
  - Q. In your notes, right.
- A. And I don't know who else reviewed it in Washington, but I did have a conversation with Buffy Linehan.
  - Q. Who is that?
  - A. She's the head of our Washington,

1	Han
2	D.C., office.
3	Q. The experts that you referred to, who
4	were they?
5	A. I'm referring to Jim Charles, for
6	example.
7	Q. Why didn't Ms. Daragan review it?
8	MS. ROBBINS: He hasn't said that she
9	hasn't, but you can ask
10	A. I don't know that she did or did
11	not. She very well could have.
12	Q. She may have?
13	A. Sure.
14	Q. You don't remember?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Once this statement was produced,
17	once the editing process was complete, what
18	happened next? What did you do with it?
19	MS. ROBBINS: You are asking him what
20	he did with it or what happened to it?
21	MR. PAYTON: Yes.
22	Q. What did you do with it?
23	A. I do not know what I did with it, $\sim$
24	except distribute it, as indicated here. $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 5\\ 8\\ 4 \end{array}$
25	Q. And this distribution, the little
	Ν 

### Han

memo, says, "Attached is the approved statement that was faxed to ABC's Day One this afternoon.

We will not be answering any questions and do not

intend to provide any further information."

That is that was that a decision that you made or you made in collaboration with others?

- A. It would have been made in collaboration.
- Q. And who did you collaborate with in order to make that decision?
- A. I couldn't say for sure. It would have been several people. I mean, Mr. Parrish and Mr. Wall would certainly have been part of it. I don't know who else would have been. Undoubtedly there were others.
- Q. You have testified that this statement is responsive to both the general, I think you say, charge that was contained in the E-mail, as well as the three numbered paragraphs, which I think you described as subsets.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know, do you remember, if earlier drafts of this statement contained

When you were working on it,

Q.

whichever days that is, did you come to know the answer to what I'm calling question number 1, issue number 1, which is the use of denatured alcohol, denatured with nicotine, in the making of cigarettes by Philip Morris?

MS. ROBBINS: I will object to your characterization of it as an issue. Subject to that, he could answer the question.

- A. I'm sorry, could you ask me the question again?
- Q. Sure. Did you come to know, in the course of drafting this statement, whether or not Philip Morris used alcohol denatured with nicotine in the manufacture of cigarettes?

MS. ROBBINS: I would ask you to exclude from your answer any information or discussions about what Mr. Payton is referring to here with counsel.

MR. PAYTON: Hold it, before he answers that. I don't understand that objection. I'm simply asking if he was aware of that information when he wrote this answer.

MS. ROBBINS: Okay. Wait a minute.

If he was aware of information with respect to --

1	Han
2	MR. PAYTON: I'm going to read the
3	question again, and listen to it.
4	Q. Did you come to know, in the course
5	of drafting this statement, whether or not Philip
6	Morris used alcohol denatured with nicotine in
7	the manufacture of its cigarettes?
8	MS. ROBBINS: You could answer the
9	question yes or no.
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And what's the answer to the
12	question? Did Philip Morris use alcohol
13	denatured with nicotine in the manufacture of its
14	cigarettes?
15	MS. ROBBINS: Again, without
16	revealing the substance of any legal advice or
17	legal discussion that you had in conversations
18	with Mr. Parrish and Mr. Wall or any other
19	attorney, you can answer the question.
20	A. Could you ask me the question again?
21	I'm sorry.
22	Q. Did Philip Morris use alcohol
23	denatured with nicotine in the manufacture of any
24	of its cigarettes?

Yes, sir.

1	Han	
2	Q. Did it use it in connection with the	
3	manufacture of all of its cigarettes?	
4	A. I do not know that.	
5	Q. Do you know if it used this specific	
6	alcohol, SDA4?	
7	A. Yes, sir.	
8	MS. ROBBINS: That was, again, did	
9	you know at the time.	
10	Q. Yes, did you know at the time.	
11	A. When I was drafting the statement?	
12	Q. Yes.	
13	A. Yes, sir. It's the only one you're	
14	allowed to use.	
15	Q. And you have learned that in these	
16	two days?	
17	A. Yes, sir.	
18	MS. ROBBINS: The two-day period of	
19	the 24th and 25th?	
20	MR. PAYTON: 24th and 25th.	
21	MS. ROBBINS: Actually it says so in	
22	the E-mail. ABC knew that, too. That's what the	
23	E-mail says. The only federally approved use has	
24	been for tobacco companies for use in	ა 2
25	cigarettes.	7
	cigarettes.	]
l	$\omega$	1

MR. PAYTON: Yes, but he did not know anything about the use of denatured alcohol or SDA4 when he read the E-mail.

MS. ROBBINS: I'm just saying that it was something that was articulated in the E-mail.

MR. PAYTON: Yes.

- Q. I take it that your source of the information that the only approved use for SDA4 was not Day One?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. And when you drafted this statement, that's on the 24th and/or the 25th of February 1994, were you aware of William L. Dunne's memos in which he talked about cigarettes as a package like a nicotine delivery system?
  - A. That's sort of like two questions.
- Q. I didn't intend it to be. I am simply asking if you learned in those two days information that was responsive to number 2 here.
- A. I knew information about that long before then.
- Q. Okay. Why don't you tell me what information you knew about that long before that.

$\neg$	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	

- A. Mr. Dunne's documents were part of the Cipollone trial in 1988, and it was at that point that this quote, which I'm not sure is a hundred percent accurate, first came to my attention.
- Q. So you were aware of a quote like this?
  - A. Similar to that.
- Q. Similar to this, before it was presented in this E-mail to Philip Morris?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. I think I asked you earlier if you were familiar with Mr. Dunne's memos, and you said you were not.
  - A. I'm not familiar with the memos.
- Q. Did you become more familiar or familiar at all with his memos on February 24-25?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you learn any additional information about Mr. Dunne, this statement that he is purported to have made, on February 24 or February 25?
- A. That was new compared to what I knew in 1988?

O. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. No, sir.
  - Q. You had no additional information about Mr. Dunne, the 1972 conference, or this quote, or a quote similar to this, when you worked on the draft response?
    - A. No, sir.
    - Q. Yes, sir, you mean?

MS. ROBBINS: No, sir, he did not.

11 Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. Turning to number 3 in the E-mail, the three questions about reconstituted tobacco, did you learn on February 24 and/or February 25 whether or not Philip Morris used tobacco extract in connection with its reconstituted tobacco?

MS. ROBBINS: Again, subject to my same admonition about not revealing legal advice from counsel in any discussions you had, you can answer the question.

- A. I'm sorry, I have to ask you to ask it one more time. Or read it back.
  - Q. I'm going to read it.

Did you learn on February 24 and/or

1		Han
2	February 25	whether or not Philip Morris used
3	tobacco ext	ract in connection with its
4	reconstitut	ed tobacco?
5	Α.	Yes, sir, I did learn.
6	Q.	And did it use tobacco extract?
7	Α.	It had in the past, as part of a
8	flavor pack	age.
9	Q.	Did you learn what tobacco extract is
10	at that tim	e, February 24 and February 25?
11	. A .	No, sir.
12	Q.	You were just told that Philip Morris
13	had used to	bacco extract in the past, and I
14	believe you	said as part of a flavor package?
15	A.	It was part of a flavor package that
16	was purchas	ed from a supplier, and that's what I
17	learned, yes	s, sir.
18	Q.	What's a flavor package?
19		MS. ROBBINS: Are you asking now, are
20	you asking	then?
21		MR. PAYTON: I'm asking him now.
22	Α.	Flavor package?
23		MS. ROBBINS: If you know.
24	Α.	MS. ROBBINS: If you know.  I cannot say with certainty. I'm  457637
25	sorry.	7637

### Han

- Q. Did you know what a flavor package was on February 24 and February 25?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Did you learn on February 24 and/or February 25 whether or not tobacco extract contained nicotine?
- A. I learned that it contained miniscule amounts of nicotine -- I'm sorry, excuse me, I learned that it contained nicotine. That's right.
- Q. Did you learn that it contained significant quantities of nicotine?
- A. I did not know what the quantities were.
- Q. You said that you had learned that

  Philip Morris had used tobacco extract as part of

  a flavor package that was purchased by a

  supplier --
  - A. From a supplier.
  - Q. -- from a supplier in the past.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How long ago had that practice been occurring?

MS. ROBBINS: You are asking whether

1	Han
2	he learned at that time that fact?
3	MR. PAYTON: Yes.
4	Q. Did you know then when
5	A. I learned at that time.
6	Q. How recently had Philip Morris used
7	tobacco extract in a flavor package purchased
8	from a supplier in the manufacture of cigarettes
9	at that time?
10	MS. ROBBINS: As of the 24th or 25th,
11	when you learned the information, what did you
12	learn about the time that Philip Morris was using
13	tobacco extract in a flavor package? Is that the
14	question?
15	MR. PAYTON: Yes.
16	A. Until the end of 1993 for one brand.
17	Q. What was the brand?
18	A. Merit.
19	MR. PAYTON: I think I am for
20	stopping now. I have more to go yet, but let's
21	break for lunch.
22	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 1:03, and
23	we are off the record.
24	(Luncheon recess: 1:03 p.m.) $0.5$
25	3457639
	639

Han 1 A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N 2 3 2:00 p.m. VICTOR HAN, 4 resumed, having been previously duly sworn, was 5 6 examined and testified further as follows: 7 THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 2:02, and we are back on the record. 8 CONTINUED EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. PAYTON: 10 Mr. Han, when we broke for lunch you 11 had identified the brand, the Philip Morris 12 brand, that contained, I guess, a flavoring that 13 had tobacco extract in it but was discontinued in 14 15 December of 1993 as Merit? 16 Α. Flavor package. 17 A flavor package. 18 MS. ROBBINS: And what was 19 discontinued is the use of the flavor package, 20 not Merit. 21 Did you know in February, February 24 or 25, 1994, if Philip Morris had used tobacco 22 23 extract in any other of its brands prior to 2058457640 24 December of 1993? 25 MS. ROBBINS: It's been asked and

05845/641

Han

2 answered.

б

MR. PAYTON: I don't believe it has been.

MS. ROBBINS: He has given you testimony on what he knew at the time about its use.

- Q. You can answer.
- A. Could you say it again? I'm sorry.
- Q. Sure. Did you know in February,
  February 24-25, if Philip Morris had used tobacco
  extract in any other of its brands prior to
  December of 1993?
  - A. No, sir.

MS. ROBBINS: Just a minute.

The reason I'm looking, your previous question was how recently had Philip Morris used tobacco extract in a flavor package purchased from a supplier in the manufacture of cigarettes at the time, and he answers the question, "Until the end of 1993 for one brand." Now, I don't understand how the new question that you asked him is different from the previous question and how the response is different.

Q. This the difference I was seeking. I

understand that Philip Morris, or that you learned or were told that the last brand that Philip Morris had used a tobacco extract in was Merit at the end of 1993.

MS. ROBBINS: I guess that's my quarrel with you. I don't think his testimony was the last brand. I think his testimony was one brand.

- Q. And my question is, I will take Ms. Robbins' version of that, was it your understanding that that was the only brand that Philip Morris had ever used tobacco extract in?
- A. I don't know if there were others or not.
- Q. You just know that that was the last one?
- A. I don't know if it was the last one.

  I just know that it was -- at the time it was the only one that was brought to my attention.
- Q. Since that time, since February 24 or February 25, have you learned of any other brands, any other Philip Morris brands, that were made prior to that time, prior to February 24-25, that contained tobacco extract?

Han 1 No, I haven't. 2 Α. Did you inquire on February 24-25 of 3 4 anyone at Philip Morris whether or not there were other Philip Morris brands that had used tobacco 5 extract in them besides Merit? 6 MS. ROBBINS: You can answer that yes My only point is I don't want you, and I 8 don't know whether it calls for this, but I don't 9 want you to reveal the substance of any 10 conversations with counsel. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Right. MS. ROBBINS: Subject to that, 13 certainly answer the question. 14 15 Α. Could you ask it again? I'm sorry. Did you inquire, during this February 16 17 24-25 period, of anyone at Philip Morris whether or not there were other Philip Morris cigarette 18 brands that had used tobacco extract besides 19 Merit? 20 I don't know that -- I can't recall 21 whether I did or did not. 22 23 MR. PAYTON: Barbara, I think I want

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

to understand what the instruction was you just

gave to Mr. Han about subject to. And I will

24

1.4

Han

2 tell you what my concern is.

MS. ROBBINS: Sure.

MR. PAYTON: It is if he learned a fact, such as the fact I just asked him about, were you told by anyone that there were other Philip Morris brands that used tobacco extract, I believe he should be allowed to answer the question which is simply seeking that fact. And if your instruction was that he will give an answer as though he did not know that fact if he learned it from a lawyer, then I want to understand that from you. Did you understand what I'm saying?

instruction. There were meetings with lawyers, as you have been told by Mr. Han, in which a lot of information was discussed and in which legal advice was given and sought and information was provided for the purpose of obtaining legal advice. I am trying very hard to let him be responsive to your questions without revealing in any way the substance of those legal meetings. And it's not that easy an area, but we have no intention of waiving the privilege with respect

5/64

Han 1 2 to those areas. I think, on this question, Mr. Han, 3 you can tell, because I think I know the answer, 4 5 you can tell Mr. Payton whether you are not saying something because of the attorney-client 6 7 privilege. THE WITNESS: 8 No. 9 MR. PAYTON: Thank you. 10 When you say in your answers to this Q. last two or three questions about the use of 1.1 12 tobacco extract in Philip Morris brands of cigarettes, does that cover brands made in the 13 14 United States, wherever they are sold, or just 15 brands sold in the United States, or are you making no such distinction? 16 17 MS. ROBBINS: Let me just read your 18 question. Did you understand my question? Ο. 19 20 I think so. Α. MS. ROBBINS: You can answer the 21 22 question whether you are making any distinction, 23 and then we can see where that goes. 24 I'm not making any distinction, 2058457645 25 but --

Han

MS. ROBBINS: We believe, as you know, that in discovery in this case, on a question like this, you are entitled to ask questions of the right witnesses who have knowledge with respect to cigarettes manufactured and sold in this country. We think that was the judge's discovery order, and that's what I think you are entitled to ask.

MR. PAYTON: I understand your relevance point. I think I'm entitled to get an answer from this witness on this, though.

- A. I haven't been making a distinction.

  I do not know whether the points I've made apply
  to all -- both international and domestic brands.
- Q. Does it apply to all domestic production?
  - A. I do not know.

MS. ROBBINS: I'm not sure I understand the distinction you're making, John. Domestic brands versus domestic production?

Q. Do you know if Philip Morris produces, for example, in its Richmond facility, cigarettes that are sold outside of the United States?

Han

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. It does?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That's the distinction I'm making.

  And your answer did not make any distinction like that, or did it?
- A. I have not been making distinctions.

  But, as I said -- well, I haven't been making

  distinctions.

MR. PAYTON: I'm going to ask you a series of questions, and I guess, Ms. Robbins, I'm going to let you raise objections as you see fit, but I want to alert you that you may want to object, so you may want to let her object before you answer. I don't intend to trick the witness.

Q. The information that you acquired on the 24th and 25th of February about the use of alcohol denatured with nicotine that Philip Morris used in its production of cigarettes, from whom did you receive that information?

MS. ROBBINS: I am going to object if the only source -- if the source of your information comes solely from attorneys or from

2 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Han

meetings with attorneys where information was provided for the purpose of receiving legal advice, I don't believe he should be testifying to that, and I would instruct him not to answer as to that source.

If that's not clear to you, we can take a break and I can try to understand what it is that he wants to say, and let you know whether there is any room for an answer to that question.

MR. PAYTON: Okay. The break may be But let me ask a few more questions so that you understand where I'm going.

> MS. ROBBINS: Sure.

Was the purpose of the meetings that were occurring on either the 24th and/or the 25th with persons that included the lawyers that you have identified, some of the meetings I understand were maybe only with the lawyers, I'm not sure, was the purpose of those meetings to work on the creation of the statement whose final form we have been looking at in Han Exhibit -you can tell me what the exhibit number is. the February 25 statement.

1	Han
2	A. 8.
3	Q. Han 8. Was that the purpose of those
4	meetings, to generate this statement?
5	MS. ROBBINS: You can answer that.
6	A. No, sir.
7	Q. What was the purpose of the
8	meetings?
9	MS. ROBBINS: Again, without
10	revealing substance, if the purpose was to either
11	get legal advice or collect information for the
12	purpose of legal advice, you can tell him that.
13	Don't reveal the substance of the meeting.
14	A. It was to obtain information for
15	legal advice.
16	Q. I want to try, if it's possible, to
17	focus on the process that takes place which
18	results in the creation of this statement, which
19	is Han Exhibit 2, the February 25 statement, and
20	the inputs that you received, factual inputs that
21	you received, that go into your first draft and
22	your edits and your redraft, and end up in this
23	final version.
24	MR. PAYTON: I don't understand how
25	any of that is affected by any privilege claims

## Han

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's the line of questioning I at all. would like to pursue.

That's where I am. And if it would be helpful for you to break and talk to the witness, that's fine. I have asked the additional questions just so you see where I'm going and why I'm having a little trouble.

MS. ROBBINS: Let me see if I can understand what you're saying. When you talk about the factual inputs that go into the statement, we obviously have no problem with him testifying to facts that become public, which is what I think you're sort of saying. statement obviously goes to ABC. It's not a privileged communication of any sort. As the days go on, a lot of the information becomes public information, and he is a communicator with the public.

I have no problem with him testifying to facts that become public. I think you are entitled to know what he knew about those facts that become public. But I'm having a lot of difficulty drawing a line here, because he attended meetings with lawyers where legal issues

#### Han

were discussed, where information was gathered for the purpose of giving legal advice, and you're trying to divert a distinction between that and what you are calling facts that I guess have some input into the statement. And I'm not sure how to do that. But we are not prepared to waive the privilege with respect to those meetings.

On the other hand, obviously, the facts that become public I'm happy for you to know about.

MR. PAYTON: Here are my two premises. I believe that any fact that Mr. Han becomes aware of, and right now it's just these two days, any fact that he becomes aware of, I belive I'm entitled to know it. And I think I have learned --

MS. ROBBINS: I just want to understand your position. Even if those are facts that are communicated during the course of a meeting with lawyers for the purpose of obtaining legal advice?

MR. PAYTON: If he learned a fact?

MS. ROBBINS: If during the course of

a meeting with lawyers, during which legal advice was sought and being given, facts, normally one goes to one's lawyer with facts and says, you know, these are the facts, what's my legal advice, my understanding of the law is the information provided to lawyers in the course of a meeting for the purpose of obtaining legal advice is privileged information.

MR. PAYTON: These are facts he learned, Mr. Han learned.

MS. ROBBINS: He's at a meeting with lawyers as part of this process.

MR. PAYTON: And they are supplying him with facts? That must be how this goes?

MS. ROBBINS: No, the lawyers don't have to be supplying the facts.

MR. PAYTON: I'm interested in facts Mr. Han learns, not that he provides to the lawyers. Facts that he learns.

MS. ROBBINS: But he is a Philip
Morris person at a meeting, hearing information
being provided for the purpose of obtaining legal
advice.

MR. PAYTON: This is a libel action.

## Han

MS. ROBBINS: I understand that.

MR. PAYTON: In which Philip Morris

has claimed that certain things that were broadcast were not true.

MS. ROBBINS: That's right.

MR. PAYTON: And I'm inquiring as to what Mr. Han knew as far as the facts that I believe are clearly relevant to the questions Philip Morris had been asked by ABC.

MS. ROBBINS: And he's told you what he knew. He told you clearly what he knows, or what he knew at the time.

MR. PAYTON: So now the question is, my first point is, I believe I'm entitled to all of the facts that he was aware of on February 24 and 25 that go to this.

And the second point is -- and I understand your concerns, I'm not saying I know an answer to them, but that's my first point. My second point is the question that began this, which is from whom did you learn these facts, and I'm trying to be very particular. And I understand some of that you may claim privilege, some you may not, because some of it he may have

learned from a nonprivileged source.

MS. ROBBINS: That's possible.

MR. PAYTON: Those are the two lines of inquiry here. Can I learn the facts, and from whom did he learn the facts.

Do you need to break?

MS. ROBBINS: I think it's created a problem, because, as I said, I think we have a very legitimate attorney-client privilege to protect here. Maybe we should caucus to see if there's a way of solving this and we should go off for a few minutes and take a break.

MR. PAYTON: Fine.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 2:22, and we are off the record.

(A recess was taken.)

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 2:34, and we are back on the record.

MS. ROBBINS: Okay, John, let me tell you where we are. We are prepared to let Mr. Han, who was in these meetings as a media relations person and who, obviously, to some extent, relied on facts that he learned to be able to inform his knowledge when he wrote this

Han

statement and other statements that he made to the public, we are prepared to let him testify to the facts that he came to understand, even if he came to understand them only at such a meeting at which counsel was present, if you are prepared to agree with us that neither his testimony, nor our agreement to let him testify to that, will constitute either a waiver or a precedent with respect to the attorney-client privilege as to other communications, whether at meetings that he attended or otherwise.

MR. PAYTON: Does that mean that with respect to these meetings that happened on the 24th and 25th, you are withdrawing any claim of privilege?

MS. ROBBINS: No.

MR. PAYTON: Well, let's take any hypothetical meeting that's happening on the 24th and 25th. He testifies as to facts he learns from a lawyer. Are you saying that we can use that answer for any purpose?

MS. ROBBINS: I am saying that as to facts that he learns for the purpose of -- I'm not just limiting it to this statement -- for

either writing the statement or communicating with the public about the issues that you have raised in the E-mail, as to those facts I will let him testify as to those facts, provided that the agreement to let him testify to those facts -- neither the agreement nor the testimony itself will be deemed to constitute any kind of waiver of the privilege with respect to other things that happened at those meetings or other communications.

MR. PAYTON: Okay. Although we could use his answers for any purpose?

MS. ROBBINS: His answering are usable. They just are not a waiver or precedent in any way for other things that took place at those meetings or at other times. And I want it to be clear that what he is testifying to is facts that he came to know for the purpose of being able to fulfill his function as a person who would be communicating, whether through this statement or in other statements, with the public about those facts. I have no problem with him telling you about what he learned in that regard, as I said, provided that it doesn't constitute a

Han

2.1

waiver or precedent with respect to other things said at the meeting or other meetings.

MR. PAYTON: I understand the conditions under which you would like us to receive this testimony, and I'm willing to agree. But I will tell you that I am not convinced that these claims of privilege are well-taken, and we may at some later time pursue that issue separate from this. But I understand it's preserved.

MS. ROBBINS: And that his testifying now is not a waiver in any way of that position, obviously.

MR. PAYTON: That's correct. It's not a waiver of that position. But you are not limiting our use of his testimony.

MS. ROBBINS: Of his answers on this, that's right, not a waiver and not a precedent.

Okay. I think what we said is clear.

Subject to that, then, Mr. Han, you can testify as to facts that you learned, even if they were learned at meetings at which lawyers were in attendance, or even from the lawyers.

But we are talking about facts, not legal advice,

Han

Facts.

2 not legal strategy.

BY MR. PAYTON:

4 5

Q. Mr. Han, would you change any of the answers you have given so far in light of the understandings we just reached, that is, did you not provide a complete answer as to facts you were aware of because you were concerned about these privilege concerns?

MS. ROBBINS: And if you have a problem answering that and need to look at your testimony, then I think he ought to. If you can answer it, you are welcome to.

- Q. Do you understand what I'm saying?
- A. I believe I understand what you're saying. I think you're asking me whether or not this attorney-client privilege issue prevented me from saying -- providing some of the facts on some of these issues we've discussed thus far, and the answer is no.
- Q. Let me go back to a question that I think began this whole line here, which is, with respect to what is number 1 in the E-mail, which is the use of alcohol denatured with nicotine, in this time period, February 24-25, from whom did

Han

you receive the additional information about Philip Morris' use of alcohol denatured with nicotine in its manufacture of cigarettes?

- A. I don't know how much additional there was. I cannot be specific as to the individual who I learned it from. But what I can tell you is it was one of possibly two or three scientists from Richmond.
- Q. My recollection is that when we went over the E-mail this morning, and I asked what you knew about number 1, which is Philip Morris' use of alcohol denatured with nicotine, as well as the specific alcohol that is referenced in number 1, you testified that you did not have any knowledge about Philip Morris' use of alcohol denatured with nicotine when you received that E-mail.
  - A. Received this E-mail?
- 20 Q. Yes.
  - A. That's true. I didn't.
  - Q. So I was then asking, two questions ago, what information you received after receiving this E-mail about topic number 1, the use of alcohol denatured with nicotine, by Philip

Morris, because I thought you had also testified earlier that you came to understand that Philip Morris did use such alcohol, and that specific alcohol, in the manufacture of its cigarettes.

Is that correct?

A. That's correct.

MS. ROBBINS: I think the problem is with the word "additional," John.

Q. And it is that information that,
Philip Morris did use alcohol denatured with
nicotine, and specifically the specific alcohol
denatured with nicotine referenced in number 1,
which is an alcohol called SDA4, that you
received that information on the 24th and/or the
25th of February, and that's the information that
you believe you received from two or three
scientists in Richmond?

MS. ROBBINS: I think what he said is it was one of three possible scientists.

- A. One of three possible scientists.
- Q. One of three. I'm sorry. I misunderstood that.
- A. I'm still a little confused as to what you're asking me. But let me just say that

#### Han

all of the information I received, and all the information that I learned regarding denatured alcohol, came from these -- from those one or more of those three or four scientists, and it took place on conference calls, and it took place, you know, after this E-mail was received.

- Q. There was a conference call after the E-mail was received?
- A. Excuse me, I didn't say conference call. It was somebody on a speakerphone.
  - Q. One of the scientists in Richmond?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. And you don't remember which one?
- A. I can't recall exactly which one it was.
  - Q. Do you know the names of the three it might have been?
- A. It could have been Jim Charles, Ken Houghton.
  - Q. How do you spell Houghton?
  - A. H-o-u-g-h-t-o-n. It could have been Richard Carchman.
  - Q. That's the person that's been in your notes a couple of times?

799/04005

# Han

- A. Yes. And it could have been Howard Burnley.
  - Q. Howard --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Burnley, B-u-r-n, I don't know if it is l-e-y or l-y.

MR. BOOKER: 1-e-y.

- Q. Is he in charge of the manufacturing facility?
  - A. No, sir.
  - .Q. Who is Howard Burnley?
- A. Howard Burnley I simply know as a scientist, research-type guy.
- Q. And this call was with one of these people in Richmond?
- A. I believe so. I could be mistaken. It could have been with somebody else. But it was somebody from Richmond, someone with a scientific background.
  - Q. Who else was on the call with you?
- A. Well, for certain, Mr. Wall and Mr. Parrish. There might have been other people in the room, but I don't recall.
- Q. And the purpose of this call was simply to figure out what Philip Morris did or

Han

didn't do with alcohol denatured with nicotine?

MS. ROBBINS: I object to the form of the question. He told you what the meetings and the calls were for.

- Q. I don't recall you telling me that. What was the call for?
- A. The call was for gathering information and seeking legal advice regarding that information.
- Q. But the call was just to get the information?

MS. ROBBINS: For the purpose of seeking legal advice with respect to it.

- A. Right, what my lawyer just said.

  MS. ROBBINS: Which you said just a minute before.
- Q. You had a telephone call with someone from Day One that identifies three areas they would like comment on. One of the areas is about alcohol denatured with nicotine. And you have a telephone call with a scientist to try to understand the facts that relate to Philip Morris' use of alcohol denatured with nicotine?

A. Yes, sir.

Han

MS. ROBBINS: Telephone call with scientists and others who he has told you about. You're not giving all of the information. You're asking him to answer questions without -- you said you had a telephone call with a scientist, but you failed to include that there were attorneys on the phone as well, in that call.

MR. PAYTON: That's right.

MS. ROBBINS: Okay.

MR. PAYTON: I understand that.

MS. ROBBINS: So your question is misleading when you frame it the way you frame it.

MR. PAYTON: I don't think I misled this witness. I'm about to ask him why any issue of legal advice came up at all.

MS. ROBBINS: Wait a minute. Because this is a privilege issue. The calls and meetings that Mr. Han described earlier to you, of which this is part of one call, were calls -- long calls, not just about one little thing, for the purpose of, as we have said, gathering information for the purpose of lawyers like Mr. Wall and Mr. Parrish to be able to review the

information and formulate -- give legal advice with respect to it vis-a-vis ABC. Now, you're taking a tiny little piece of a conversation and trying to say, oh, well, you couldn't possibly have been seeking legal advice with respect to denatured alcohol, and in doing that you are just mischaracterizing his testimony.

Han

MR. PAYTON: Ms. Robbins, I don't think I'm mischaracterizing his testimony. I think I'm going as deliberately and carefully as I can so that you have all the opportunities you need. And I am proceeding along, perhaps because I don't understand the larger picture, but I don't. And I don't understand how any issue of legal advice or privilege comes up here. And I'm going through the component parts of these conversations and telephone calls as best I can.

Q. Mr. Han, can you tell me what caused you to seek legal advice?

MS. ROBBINS: I don't know what you're asking him. He has told you that he was called to a meeting, which was not being run by him, at which lawyers were present, at least Mr. Parrish and Mr. Wall, and the meetings were

being -- and conference call, or calls, I don't want to call them conference calls, were being had for the purpose of Philip Morris' lawyers, Mr. Wall, Mr. Parrish, and perhaps others, to be able to gather information for the purpose of their giving legal advice as to how to respond to the overall issues in the E-mail to ABC. Now, I don't understand what's so hard about that.

MR. PAYTON: The E-mail about ABC.

MS. ROBBINS: Well, the issues that are raised with respect to seeking either comment or otherwise on the subject areas, we will call them, rather than issues, that you pointed to in the E-mail.

You have been told that the meetings were precipitated by, again, the subject areas that were raised by Mr. Bogdanich in the E-mail and his request for comment on or answers to those subject areas generally, and the general charge about artificially adding nicotine. And you've been told that meetings were had and calls were had in an effort to gather information and for the purpose of the lawyers being able to advise on how to respond.

Now, I don't understand what's so hard about that.

THE WITNESS: May I go outside and talk to you for just a second?

MS. ROBBINS: Relating to the privilege issue?

THE WITNESS: On this issue.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 2:51, and we are off the record.

(A recess was taken.)

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 2:53, and we are back on the record.

MS. ROBBINS: Mr. Han has advised me of something, which I was not aware of, which I would like him to tell you.

A. First off, I want to make clear, I'm not speaking specifically about these issues here or these specific meetings. I'm just going to speak generally. Actually I guess I do have to bring these in.

Any time there are questions involving ingredients in the product, that is a legal issue for us, whatever the circumstances are. Any time I get a question from the public

· Han

or from the media, or anybody in my department does, regarding anything having to do with ingredients, it's a legal issue and I have to take it to the lawyers for legal advice.

- Q. And is that the nature of your concern that led you to go to the lawyers for legal advice in this specific instance?
- A. That, and also the issue of nicotine in general, and the alleged addiction issue that goes along with that, because that is part of the litigation, part of litigation of the company, and has been for some quite a long time.
- Q. Any other reasons you would have gone to the lawyers?
- MS. ROBBINS: Other than what he's already testified to?
  - MR. PAYTON: Yes, that's right.
- MS. ROBBINS: Other than what's already been testified to.
  - A. At the moment I can't think of others, but those are the primary ones.
- MR. PAYTON: With respect to the issue of ingredients, Ms. Robbins, am I right that the protective order confidentiality

< 058457669</p>

Han

agreement that we have in this case, that covers discovery in this case and anything in the deposition, should allay Mr. Han's concerns about giving testimony about that concern?

MS. ROBBINS: In this room now?

MR. PAYTON: Yes, in this room now.

MS. ROBBINS: Yes. It could be designated as trade secret. But you are not attaching that to what he just said?

MR. PAYTON: Well, if the -- let me separate this out and talk only about the concern he raised about ingredients.

MS. ROBBINS: He is talking about as of the 24th and 25th. You understand that?

MR. PAYTON: Yes.

MS. ROBBINS: There was no protective order then.

MR. PAYTON: Yes, I understand that. But the issue is the concerns that he may have had then that relate to trade secrets.

MS. ROBBINS: I think there's some confusion. You had asked him what legal advice he could possibly have been seeking with respect to some of the subject matters, some of the

specific subject matters in the E-mail, like tobacco extract, like denatured alcohol. What he told me and what he was trying to tell you was, quite apart from the general legal issues that I had explained to you, that any time he receives a question from the media or form anyone that touches on ingredients, he would go to a lawyer for legal advice, a lawyer in his company, Mr. Wall or Mr. Parrish, and that part of what he was doing when he attended those meetings that he is testifying about now was to get legal advice because some of the subject matters touched upon were ingredients. Again, apart from the larger legal considerations.

Han

I guess I just don't understand what that has to do with a protective order. I mean, am I missing something?

MR. PAYTON: No. I was simply asking whether or not you were going to tell him that he should not respond to questions about the ingredient trade secret issues that came up in those meetings on February 24 and 25.

MS. ROBBINS: If there is any trade secret information that came up at those

2

3

Han

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

meetings, you should alert me, and we will designate that as trade secret here in this room today, and it will be protected under our stipulation and protective order as trade secret.

> Does that answer it, John? MR. PAYTON: Yes.

- The information that you received on 0. the 24th and/or 25th of February that relates to number 3 on the E-mail, which is reconstituted tobacco, tobacco extracts, and whether tobacco extracts contain nicotine, from whom did you receive that information?
- One of the same three or four gentlemen.
- And did you also discuss with whoever it was that was on the phone in Richmond number 2, which is William Dunne, and his memo and/or statements about nicotine delivery systems?
- No. Did I discuss that with somebody over the telephone? Is that --
  - Q. Yes.
  - From Richmond? Α.
  - Q. Yes.

## Han

A. The a

A. The answer is no, I did not.

Q. Did you receive information on the 24th and 25th of February about number 2 there?

MS. ROBBINS: Factual information.

MR. PAYTON: Factual information.

MS. ROBBINS: If your response calls into question any of these meetings at which lawyers were in attendance.

- A. Yes, I did get something of a refresher. But, Mr. Payton, understand that this story regarding Mr. Dunne pops up in the media every year. This was not the first time we had to deal with it.
- Q. From whom did you receive the refresher?
  - A. Mr. Wall.
- Q. And during these meetings on February 24 and 25, was there also discussion about whether or not Philip Morris artificially added nicotine to cigarettes?

MS. ROBBINS: Before you answer, again, you can testify to facts. That's what we have stipulated and agreed to, that you came to understand during the course of these meetings,

Han

in which you formed your knowledge for purposes of fulfilling your role as somebody who responded to both ABC and the public generally. I say again, we are talking about facts now; we are not talking about legal advice given or any other legal kinds of information.

- A. Would you ask the question again?
- Q. Yes. During these meetings, February 24 and/or February 25, was there also discussion about whether or not Philip Morris artificially added nicotine to its cigarettes?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you tell me the content of those discussions?

MS. ROBBINS: To the extent that they involve facts that you learned, as we have discussed many times here now, you can answer those questions.

A. It was a short discussion. The question was posed. The answer came back very quickly: Philip Morris doesn't do that. I'm not saying that those are the words, but, I mean, it was a very straightforward and very short conversation.

1 |

Han

Q. Did this come up during this same call to the person in Richmond? Was this all discussed at the same time?

A. I believe it was.

- Q. How is it possible to say that Philip Morris doesn't artificially add nicotine to its cigarettes if, in fact, Philip Morris was using flavor packages that contained tobacco extract which contained nicotine?
- A. I think that -- well, first off, as I said, they weren't doing that after 1993.

When I read this charge, I had little doubt, no doubt, in my mind, anyway, what this charge's intent was, and in that context it had to be talking about quantities of some significance. Through the course of these conversations, I learned that the nicotine present in the denatured alcohol and the nicotine that was present in the flavor package, that was untraceable in the final product.

Q. Mr. Han, I believe you told me earlier today, I don't remember the exact time, in connection with number 3, which is the use of tobacco extract in reconstituted tobacco, that

Han 1 you understood that tobacco extract did contain 2 nicotine. 3 Α. Yes. And I believe you then said, but it 5 didn't have significant quantities of nicotine, 6 7 and then you corrected yourself. Α. Yes. And I then asked you if you knew if 9 10 tobacco extract had significant quantities of 11 nicotine, and I believe you said you did not know. Do you recall this testimony? 12 I do recall. I believe that I said 13 14 that I did not know how much. Okay. Are you changing that 15 testimony now? 16 17 I haven't said anything different. 18 I thought you just said that you learned that tobacco extract did not have 19 significant quantities of nicotine in it just 20 21 now. 22 I didn't think I said that. 23 MS. ROBBINS: He didn't say that. 24 you read his answer on the screen, I think you 25 will see that that's not what he said.

1 Han 2 Ο. You can just tell me what you said. I would like to hear what was on the 3 Α. screen then. 4 5 MS. ROBBINS: "Through the course of 6 these conversations -- " 7 MR. PAYTON: Where are you? 8 MS. ROBBINS: This is page 162, line 9 "Through the course of these conversations I 10 learned that the nicotine present in denatured alcohol and the nicotine that was present in the 11 12 flavor package, that was untraceable in the final 13 product." 14 You think that's consistent with your Ο. 15 testimony that you didn't know how much nicotine 16 was in the tobacco extract? 17 Α. Yes, sir. Am I missing something? 18 MS. ROBBINS: No, I don't think so. 19 Do you know what I mean by product, 20 final product? 21 Ο. What do you mean by final product? 22 Α. A cigarette. 23 Q. Yes. 24 Α. Okay.

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

What do you mean by untraceable in

Q.

the final product?

- A. Can't be detected. That's my understanding.
- Q. Do you remember now if the discussion that was had on February 24 and/or February 25 about the use of tobacco extract in any flavor package was restricted to the brand Merit?

MS. ROBBINS: I object to the form of your question.

- Philip Morris' use of tobacco extract, that discussion was focusing on the Merit cigarette only, or was it trying to cover any cigarette or brand that Philip Morris had ever used tobacco extract in?
  - A. I don't know the answer to that.
- Q. Was Merit mentioned in connection with this discussion?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it was the only cigarette that was mentioned?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. February 24 and/or February 25, at that time were you aware or did you know whether

1	Han		
2	or not Philip Morris purchased reconstituted		
3	tobacco sheet from any supplier?		
4	A. Did I know that then?		
5	Q. Then.		
6	A. I didn't know that then; I don't know		
7	that now.		
8	MS. ROBBINS: You don't know one way		
9	or the other?		
10	THE WITNESS: No, I do not.		
11	.Q. I want to turn to your notes once		
12	again. I will direct you to where we're going.		
13	It is marked PA 426646, and the second		
14	identifying number is 2023916649. It's a page		
15	that has at the top "Options." Do you see that?		
16	A. Yes.		
17	Q. Take a look at this page for just a		
18	second. I want to ask if you remember when you		
19	would have made these notes.		
20	MS. ROBBINS: If it helps, you can		
21	certainly look at pages before or after. I don't		
22	know whether that will help or not.		
23	MR. PAYTON: Certainly. Anything		
24	that helps you.		
25	A. Well, I recognize this. I cannot 57		

U	~	*
п	a	1.

tell you exactly when I wrote it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Would it have been on one of these two days, February 24 and 25?
- A. I don't know. It could have been. I don't know.

MS. ROBBINS: Let me just show you that a few pages later there is a date March 7. I don't know if that helps you, but I just am pointing it out in an effort to be helpful.

Maybe it does and maybe it doesn't.

- Maybe it does and maybe it doesn't.
- A. To be honest, I couldn't say with any certainty about it.
- MR. PAYTON: I think we have to take a break now.
- THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 3:12.
- This is the end of Tape No. 2 of the deposition of Victor Han. 3:12, and we are off the record.
- 19 (A recess was taken.)
  - THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Today is March 16, 1995. This is the beginning of Tape No. 3 of the deposition of Victor Han. It is 3:29, and we are back on the record.
    - BY MR. PAYTON:
- Q. Mr. Han, I was asking you about this

Han

page in your notes that is captioned "Options," has "Options" at the top of it. Have you had a chance to think about whether or not these notes relate to the meetings, discussions, that were taking place February 24-25?

- A. I can't say that they were related to those meetings. I don't know that. I believe I just wrote down some options on my own as to what -- see, that's my problem. This could address other things, too. But my guess is that this addresses the Day One.
- Q. Option number 1, it reads, "Steve or Chuck have conversation with reporter."
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did I read that right? Who is Steve and who is Chuck?
- A. Steve is Steve Parrish and Chuck is Chuck Wall.
  - Q. The two lawyers?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. They could talk to the reporter?
    - A. That was an option.
  - Q. Okay. Was there some reason why lawyers ought to speak to the reporter?

Han

- A. Well, as you can see, there are other ways of doing it as well, and it would be simply an issue of them having more factual knowledge about ingredients issues. So they would -- well, that's the case.
- Q. Number 2 says, "KD," I take it that's Karen Daragan --
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Or you, VH, "deliver this info verbally." I take it that would be you talk to the reporter?
- A. Based on information that would be provided to us.
- Q. Based on information that you would get?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You would then turn around and talk to the reporter; is that it?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. 3 is "Fax as statement or letter,"
  that's prepare a statement, which would be the
  same as or different from 1 or 2 but in a written
  form? Would it be the same information?
  - A. I don't know whether it would have

1 Han been the same information. 2 And 4 is "No comment on basis of 3 Q. proprietary info/trade secret." Did I read that 4 5 accurately? Yes. 6 Α. 7 I can't read the -- can you read the last line down there? 8 The one without the number? Α. 9 10 Q. Yes. "All ingredients in cigarettes are 11 A. 12 reported to the Department of Health and Human 13 Services on an annual basis as prescribed by law." 14 When was the decision made to draft a 15 Q. statement? 16 I have a hard time pinpointing when 17 that decision was made. But I would say it was 18 after -- obviously, certainly before the time we 19 faxed the statement and sometime after the 24th. 20 21 Sometime on the 24th or 25th? Yes, sir. 22 23 And were you asked or expected to 2058457682 24 come up with a first draft? 25

Yes.

Α.

Q. And you prepared your first draft after you had had at least some of these telephone calls and/or meetings?

A. Yes.

1.0

- Q. So that you could get some of the information that you needed?
- A. When I was taking part in those meetings and the speakerphone conversations, I was not there, in my mind, gathering information so I could write a statement. I did, however, gather information that I was able to use to write a statement later.
- Q. I want to raise a different issue now. I'm not sure if it's in this same time frame, but if it is, I think we ought to go into that, too. I have seen in documents that I believe were produced out of your file, I believe your personal file, some documents that relate to denatured alcohol, alcohol denatured with nicotine, as well as some documents that relate to some form of a reconstituted tobacco process. Do you know what I'm referring to? It's a chart that says BL process.

MS. ROBBINS: The chart you marked

yesterday?

MR. PAYTON: Yes.

MS. ROBBINS: Do you want to just show it to him, and then he can answer your question?

- Q. Did you recognize what I was talking about from my description?
  - A. I believe so.

MS. ROBBINS: The only reason, John, that I think you should show it to him, if I'm thinking of the correct document, it was many pages, and I remember the chart but I don't remember what was in the other pages, and I think you really ought to show it to him.

MR. BOOKER: It could be 21. It could be 17. It's either 17 or 21, I think.

MR. PAYTON: You can mark this.

EXB (Han Exhibit 9 for identification, document entitled "BL Plant Flow Diagram," with attachments.)

Q. Mr. Han, I have had marked as the next Han exhibit a set of materials that I believe were produced from your file. They start with a number that is PA 426676. It also has a

number 2023916678. And there is a collection of things, from a chart, two charts, list of patents relating to reconstituted tobacco, something from the Code of Federal Regulations, and then some materials that I believe relate to denatured alcohol.

Have you had a chance to review these?

- A. I had a look at them, yes.
- Q. What are they?
- A. Well, these two documents are the same. One is just a Xerox reduction. It is a flow chart of the blended leaf process.
- Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to ask you that specific a question. Are these documents that you received during this period, February 24-25?
- A. No, sir.

- Q. When did you receive these?
- A. I cannot speak to all of the documents. I know, for example, that this document I received --
  - Q. This document is the chart?
  - A. The chart I received during a tour of

1 Han 2 the Richmond facility that I took along with our 3 attorneys sometime --MS. ROBBINS: Just give him your best 4 recollection. 5 Sometime in March. 6 7 After this, March of '94? Ο. Α. Yes, sir. 8 9 Let me just ask you a general 10 question and then ask you to take a look through these documents and tell me if any meet this 11 description. I would like you to look and see if 12 any of these documents in this exhibit were in 13 14 your possession at the February 24-25 time 15 frame. I don't believe so. 16 I don't believe 17 so. Q. Including the ones at the end, not 18 the very end, that relate to denatured alcohol? 19 20 MS. ROBBINS: Can you give us a Bates 21 number? 22 MR. PAYTON: Yes, it is Bates number 23 PA 426599, or 2033916337. I'm showing it to 2058457686 24 you. 25 I don't recognize the document. Α.

don't know that I had it at that time.

- Q. Then you can put those back together and just set them aside, because I did not know when these came into your possession.
- A. I know when this came into my possession.
- Q. That came into possession after this, you know?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And the others --
- A. My belief is that I did not have them until later, but I don't know.
- Q. You prepared a draft statement that you testified earlier included specific responses to the three numbered items in the E-mail. I'm just bringing us to where we are. That's correct, isn't it?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know why the decision was made to delete the specific responses to those numbered items?
- A. I recall that when it was reviewed at least one person suggested that we should take those specific references out, and after some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussion and consideration, I think we all I can't recall what that agreed to do so. individual was concerned about, but I do know that after discussing it with her, I realized it was a good idea. The reason being that, given -given several things. First, it was apparent that as of February 24, the piece that ABC -- Day One was working on was, for all intents and purposes, it appears to me, to have been finished. Number two, I realized it was strange that we weren't -- he just said that -- Mr. Bogdanich simply said that they are going to charge that cigarette companies are artificially adding nicotine to cigarettes rather than naturally -- well, using tobacco leaf. But we weren't asked about that, at least based on this E-mail.

And then the experience that RJR had recounted to me furthered my concern that certain things might be taken out of context. As a result, we could deny the charge, address, say, for example, denatured alcohol, and I could see how a reporter would say that while Philip Morris says they do not spike cigarettes with nicotine,

Han

they admitted to us, being a key word, admitted to us, that they use denatured alcohol that contains nicotine. Which makes it sound like we're lying.

My preference was to address the charge itself, the significant charge. These came very clear to me to be, again, as I said before, mere subsets of that charge.

- Q. You thought that the responses to these three items might be misused; is that what --
- A. It is exactly what I just explained, yes, sir.
- Q. Did you consider providing the information, the response to these three items, on background to the reporter?
- A. I don't recall if we did, or if I did. I don't recall. I don't recall.
- Q. Would that have alleviated your concern about the information being misused?
- A. It would not necessarily alleviate that concern.

I'd like to ask you a question, if your understanding of background is the same as

mine.

Q. Well, I think it would be helpful if you gave us your understanding of the word "background," so that your answer is then fully understood.

MS. ROBBINS: Maybe you could restate your question, giving him a definition of "background" so he can respond.

MR. PAYTON: No, no.

- Q. You deal with the media. You have provided information on background before?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what's your understanding of what that means?
- A. On background is they can use the information. They cannot attribute it to the individual who is giving it to them. They can, however, attribute it to, for example, and this sometimes is agreed upon, someone close to the industry, somebody close to Philip Morris.
- Q. And my question about whether or not providing the information on background, whether or not that would have alleviated your concern about the information being misused, is it allows

you some means of distancing yourself, Philip Morris, from the information, so that when you said the word "admitted" could be used, you could avoid that use of that word?

- A. Well, I don't know that --
- Q. You could negotiate that, can't you?
- A. Sometimes yes and sometimes no.
- Q. You don't remember whether it was considered, though?

MS. ROBBINS: Asked and answered.

- A. I cannot recall.
- Q. Is there another category of information that you can provide called deep background? Are you familiar with that term?
  - A. I'm familiar with it.
  - Q. What does that term entail?
- A. I don't use it. I'll either go -we'll go on background or we'll go off the
  record.
- Q. And off the record means that they can't even say it's someone close to the industry or someone --
  - A. Can't use it.
  - Q. Did you consider providing this

information off the record?

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. And would that have alleviated your concerns?
  - A. I'm not sure that it would have.
- Q. How could the information provided off the record have been misused?
- A. The information that would be provided to the reporter off the record, obviously the reporter can then go around having that information and trying to find somebody else to corroborate it, and then he can go on the record through the other person.

You know, I'm trying to think back.

Obviously, off the record, background, you know, that's just a normal checklist of things. I don't have a specific memory of it, but it's not something you skip. Well --

- Q. It's not on this option list, is it?
- A. No, it's not.
- Q. Earlier we talked about number 3 on here, which is the reconstituted tobacco in cigarettes. I'm back in our little two-day period, February 24-25, those two days. What did

Han

you understand the reconstituted tobacco process to be then?

- A. The 24th and the 25th?
- Q. Yes.
- A. I believe that I knew it as a papermaking process. I don't know that I knew much more about it than that.
- Q. And when you say papermaking process, can you say more than that? Can you describe what you mean when you say that?
- A. Only that the stems and small pieces of tobacco were used to make a sheet. As to how it got from the stems and pieces of tobacco to a sheet, I did not know the specific steps that that went through.
- Q. Did you understand that the reconstituted tobacco sheet process itself extracts solubles from the tobacco products in the process and later in the process can put those solubles back onto the sheet?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$  ROBBINS: I'm going to object to the form of the question.

- Q. You can answer that.
- A. Would you repeat it again?

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 Han

0. Did you understand that the reconstituted tobacco sheet process extracts solubles from the tobacco products, the stems and the dust that you just described, and then later in the process may put those solubles back onto the sheet?

MS. ROBBINS: I still object. can answer.

- I cannot tell you with certainty exactly what time period that I came to understand that. But it was in and around this time period that I did.
- Q. Did you understand the solubles in that process to be a tobacco extract?
  - Α. No, sir.
- So when you were answering some questions earlier about the use of tobacco extract in connection with reconstituted tobacco, you were thinking of something done after this process is complete?

MS. ROBBINS: I object to the form of the question.

I'm not sure I understand that question.

б

## Han

Q. I think it's because I'm not sure what you were saying before, so I'm a little confused, too. So just bear with me and let me see if I can get to this.

Earlier I asked you, in connection with question 3, number 3 here, "Does Philip Morris use reconstituted tobacco in cigarettes?" The answer was yes. "If so, do we treat it with tobacco extract?" Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And I was asking you just now whether or not you understood the reconstituted tobacco process to yield a tobacco extract in part of its process and then put that extract back onto the sheet.
- A. That is not what I interpreted tobacco extract to be.
  - Q. What did you interpret it to be?
- A. I interpreted it to be something that was created nowhere near the reconstituted tobacco process. I mean, I don't know what it looks like, I've never seen it, but I just considered it to be this thing that you could purchase someplace, perhaps, and use it in some

Han

fashion or another, but not part of the reconstituted tobacco process.

Did that make any sense?

- Q. I think I understand what you're saying.
  - A. Okay.

Q. That outside of the reconstituted tobacco process, did Philip Morris use tobacco abstract, that's what you understood 3 to be about? You can look at 3.

MS. ROBBINS: But that's also not what he just testified to.

MR. PAYTON: I'm asking him if that's what he understood number 3 to be asking about.

MS. ROBBINS: Are you asking about all three parts of number 3 put together? I've lost you now, John.

MR. PAYTON: A and B, 3 A and B.

MS. ROBBINS: What is the question?

Q. Whether you understood those to mean to ask whether or not Philip Morris, outside of the reconstituted tobacco process, used tobacco extract.

MS. ROBBINS: This is the time that

Han

2 3

he read the E-mail, what was his understanding of what the E-mail said?

4

MR. PAYTON: Yes.

5

6

7

MS. ROBBINS: If you had an understanding, then you can answer it, at the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time you read it.

- My understanding of number 3 at that time was, as I said before, I had never heard of tobacco extract so I didn't know what it was, but that it was something that could be purchased and then applied to something, as opposed to being part of a process.
- During this two-day period, February 24 and/or February 25, did someone explain to you what tobacco extract was?
- I do not believe I got a specific definition about tobacco extract. It was, I believe, described to me in terms of tobacco extract being part of a flavor package that used to be purchased.
- With regard to number 2 on the E-mail, which relates to Dr. Dunne, did Philip Morris agree or disagree with Dr. Dunne?

MS. ROBBINS: What did he know about

u	~	*
п	$\boldsymbol{a}$	1.

that at the time of the 24th and the 25th, is that the question?

MR. PAYTON: 24th and 25th, yes.

A. I'm not sure I understand what Philip Morris would be agreeing to or not agreeing to.

MS. ROBBINS: You are talking about the quote, John?

MR. PAYTON: Yes, the quote.

- Q. "You must not think of cigarettes as a product. Think of it as a package like a nicotine delivery system/storage pack for nicotine."
- A. What are you asking, whether -- what is it that you are asking Philip Morris agrees or disagrees with?
  - Q. With that description of cigarettes.
  - A. Oh, that it's a nicotine delivery --
- Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- 20 A. Oh, I see.
  - Q. I'm sorry, there is no mystery here.
- A. Well, no. The answer is no, because
  I mean, my understanding of that quote from Mr.
  Dunne, back in 1972 or whenever it occurred, was
  that he was proposing a hypothesis upon which one

Han

could base further research into why people smoke. So, I mean, I don't see where there's an agreement or disagreement on that particular issue, by the company, I mean.

- Q. So you didn't know if Philip Morris agreed or disagreed with what Dr. Dunne was saying?
- A. Again, I don't know what there is to agree to or not to agree with, because my understanding of Mr. Dunne's comment was that he was proposing a hypothesis upon which to base further research. So...
- Q. I asked you earlier who had suggested the deletion of the specific responses to the numbered items in the E-mail, 1, 2 and 3, and I believe you said that -- you made a reference to a woman, who had her.
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Who was it?
- A. It was Ms. Linehan, I believe. I

  don't know if there were others. I can't recall

  if there were others.
  - Q. Who is Ms. Linehan?
  - A. In the Washington, D.C. office.

into everything that's going on.

- Q. February 24-25, some part of it is devoted to coming up with a statement to respond to the inquiry from Day One that's received on the 23rd of February, that's reflected in the E-mail that is dated the 24th? That's yes?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the end of that process, coming up with a response, is this statement, which is the February 25 two-paragraph statement?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the cover memo on the exhibit says "The attached is the approved statement." Who is it that approved it?
- A. Well, I certainly would have needed to get approval from Mr. Parrish and from Mr. Wall, and I know that I did. I don't know that they showed it to other people.
- Q. So they are the approvers that you know of?
- A. Final clearance, yes, sir. For me, anyway. Again, I don't know that they might have showed it to somebody, too.
  - Q. "Attached is the approved statement

1	Han
2	that was faxed to ABC's Day One this afternoon."
3	Am I right that it was Ms. Daragan who faxed this
4	to ABC?
5	A. I believe that's correct.
6	Q. And do you recall having a
7	conversation with Ms. Daragan about this
8	statement?
9	A. I do not have a specific recollection
10	of discussing this statement with her.
11	Q. Do you remember whether or not she
12	thought initially, at least, that the statement
13	was not responsive to the E-mail, to the
14	request?
15	MS. ROBBINS: I think you are
16	mischaracterizing her testimony.
17	MR. PAYTON: I said initially.
18	MS. ROBBINS: I understand that. I
19	still think you are. I object to your question.
20	A. I don't have a recollection, one way
21	or the other.
22	Q. Do you remember having a conversation
23	with her in which you explained to her why the
24	E-mail is responsive?
25	E-mail is responsive?  MS. ROBBINS: You don't mean the  457702

E-mail there.

question.

MR. PAYTON: I'm sorry. I will do it again. Thank you.

- Q. Do you remember having a conversation with Ms. Daragan in which you explained to her how the statement was responsive to the E-mail?

  MS. ROBBINS: I object to your
- A. I do not have a specific recollection, one way or the other.
- Q. The next line on the cover memo here, the February 25 memo, reads, "We will not be answering any questions and do not intend to provide any further information." Is that unusual?
- A. I don't know whether it's unusual.

  It's something that can happen in certain

  circumstances and might not happen in other

  circumstances.
  - Q. Not necessarily, you're saying?
- A. Not necessarily. I mean, when you issue a written statement, that's generally all you're going to provide, which is the reason for a written statement.

- Q. February 25 was also the day that the FDA Commissioner, David Kessler, issued or sent or released his letter about nicotine and cigarettes. Do you recall that?
  - A. That was a Friday?
  - Q. Yes.

A. Yes, sir.

MR. PAYTON: This is Exhibit 10.

EXB (Han Exhibit 10 for

identification, fax transmission sheet dated February 25, 1994, to Vic Han, from David Nicoli, with attachments.)

Q. I have just handed you what's been marked as Han No. 10, which is a multi-page document that has number PA 100332, and a second identifying number, 2023913510. The first two pages are fact sheets. I understand from one of the fact sheets that the second two pages are a transcription of the third two pages -- the last three pages, transcription of the last three pages.

Have you seen these documents before, in this form?

A. Yes. I have, but what was that about

Han 1 transcription --2 Q. Sure. Let me go through it. The 3 first page is a fax sheet. 4 Α. Yes. 5 And it is a fax sheet to you. The 6 7 second page is a fax sheet from Covington & Burling, dated 2/25, and it is from David Remes 8 to David Nicoli. Do you know who David Nicoli 9 10 is? 11 David Nicoli, yes, sir. Α. 12 Q. Who is he? He's in our Washington, D.C., office. 13 14 And the message says, "Attached is Q. the FDA letter that B. Dawson received." Who is 15 B. Dawson? 16 17 B. Dawson is Brennan Dawson of the 18 Tobacco Institute. 19 And then it says, "There is also attached a clean version which she retyped." And 20 21 I have, therefore, concluded that the next two 22 pages are the retyped version of the then 23 following three pages. 24 Okay. I didn't realize it. Α. 25 Q. Are you with me there?

1 Han 2 Α. Yes. Do you remember receiving this 3 document on February 25? 4 5 Α. I remember receiving the document, 6 yes. Let me direct your attention to the 0. 8 very last page. You will see that the letter from David Kessler to Scott Ballin, Chairman of 9 the Coalition on Smoking or Health, is unsigned. 10 11 Do you see that? 12 Α. Yes. Do you know how the Tobacco Institute 13 came to receive this letter in this form, 14 15 unsigned? No, I don't. It's not that unusual 16 17 to receive documents before they're released. So 18 when we got this, this didn't exactly surprise me that much. 19 20 Ο. Had you seen other letters, 21 documents, from the Commissioner of the FDA 22 before they had been released or signed? 23 No, this was the only one that I had 24 seen. 2058457706 25 From the FDA? 0.

Han

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

- Α. From the FDA.
- And when you were just saying that it wasn't unusual to see documents before they were released, were you referring to documents from agencies other than the FDA?
- I was referring to documents produced Α. in Washington, D.C., by whatever bodies.
  - Congressional bodies?
- Congressional bodies. I mean, it's not that it happens every day.
- Q. Turn to the second page of what I've referred to as the transcription. Do you see the writing at the bottom?
  - Α. Yes.
- Do you recognize that as your writing?
- Yes, I do. It looks like my handwriting.
  - Ο. Can you make out any of it?
- I can only really read it looks like the last three, which is "with the facts," f-a-c-t-s, or f-a-c-t. But I can't read the rest of it.
  - Q. Do you remember if you knew this

letter was going to be issued that day?

- A. I know that I did not know that this letter was going to be issued.
  - MS. ROBBINS: Before he saw this?
- MR. PAYTON: Yes, before he saw

7 this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. No, I did not know.
- Q. Was this letter, or this version of this letter, the subject of any of the meetings or discussions that you had on February 25, that you have already testified about?
  - A. Yes, sir, I believe so.
- Q. And do you recall what the discussion about this letter was?
- MS. ROBBINS: Well, wait a minute.
- We are back to discussions that involved attorneys.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- MR. PAYTON: We are.
- MS. ROBBINS: And you are talking of
- 22 discussions of this letter had at any meetings.
- MR. PAYTON: We are.
- MS. ROBBINS: Anything that you
- 25 discussed about this letter at meetings at which

lawyers were present for the purpose of giving legal advice or gaining information to be able to give legal advice, I tell you do not testify to it. If you had discussions outside of the presence of attorneys, with other people, you can certainly testify as to that.

Han

A. I'm sorry. Could you ask the question again?

MR. PAYTON: I need to take a break.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 4:17, and we are off the record.

(A recess was taken.)

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 4:23, and we are back on the record.

MR. PAYTON: We have agreed to continue this deposition to a time that we will work out. So for today I want to thank you, Mr. Han, for your patience and your interest. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: It is 4:23.

This is the end of Tape No. 3, and this concludes today's taping of the deposition of Victor Han. It is 4:23, and we are off the

Han 1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEW YORK ) 3 4 : ss. COUNTY OF NEW YORK 5 6 7 I, JACK FINZ, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the 8 State of New York, do hereby certify: 9 That VICTOR HAN, the witness whose 10 11 deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly 12 sworn by me and that such deposition is a true 13 record of the testimony given by the witness. 14 I further certify that I am not 15 related to any of the parties to this action by 16 blood or marriage, and that I am in no way 17 interested in the outcome of this matter. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of Mare 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

2	0	1

## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

			INDEX TO EXHIBITS 201	
>			[Ngl]philip morris-han 3-16 EXB PAGE 22 LINE 25 1 MATCH	
	22 2	2 5 2	EXB (Han Exhibit 1 for identification, organization chart.)	
:==>			PAGE 40 LINE 4 1 MATCH	
	40	4	EXB (Han Exhibit 2 for	
		5	identification, ten-page document, collection of	
		6	E-mails, production number 2024015018B.)	
==>			PAGE 55 LINE 2 1 MATCH	
	5 5	2	EXB (Han Exhibit 3 for	
		3	identification, pages from Mr. Han's notebook.)	
==>			PAGE 80 LINE 24 1 MATCH	
	80 2	4	EXB (Han Exhibit 4 for	
	2	5 2	identification, letter to Michael P. Eriksen, from Stanley L. Temko, dated January 19, 1994.)	
==>			PAGE 81 LINE 3 1 MATCH	
	81	3	EXB (Han Exhibit 5 for	
		4	identification, letter to Stanley L. Temko, from	
		5	Michael P. Eriksen, dated December 10, 1993.)	
==>			PAGE 81 LINE 6 1 MATCH	
	81	6	EXB (Han Exhibit 6 for	
		7	identification, letter to Michael P. Eriksen,	
		8	from Stanley L. Temko, dated October 25 1983.)	
==>			PAGE 81 LINE 9 1 MATCH	
	81	9	EXB (Han Exhibit 7 for % 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	ļ
	1	0	EXB (Han Exhibit 7 for 700 000 000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000	1
				Ĺ